# 2020-07-23 DSpace 7 Working Group Meeting

- Date
- Agenda
- Attendees
- 7.0 Release Goals
- Current Work
  - Project Board
  - Delayed / Needs Discussion
- Notes

#### Date

23 Jul 2020 from 14:00-15:00 UTC

Location: https://lyrasis.zoom.us/my/dspace (Meeting ID: 502 527 3040).

More connection options available at DSpace Meeting Room

Beta 4 Sprint: July 13-24

- - Beta 4 active development
  - DSpace 7 Project Boards
  - View PRs assigned to you for review/testing: https://github.com/pulls/review-requested

## Agenda

- (BEFORE MEETING IN #dev-sprint) Developer Stand Up Developers give brief updates on their effort (or their team's effort).
  - Update/see "Current Work" section below based on your status. Please feel free to update prior to meeting.
  - Please highlight any new work (needing reviews/testing), any blockers (for you), and any discussion topics you may have.
- (15 mins) General Discussion Topics
  - 1. (DELAYED UNTIL JULY 30) Disabling some CLI flags in the Processes REST API for all scripts (e.g. `--eperson`), or supporting a way to configure some flags to be CLI-only.
    - a. Some of these scripts have flags that we should never allow to be specified via the REST API (as they become a security issue when available on REST, but they are not a security issue via CLI).
    - b. See this initial issue around `metadata-import`: https://github.com/DSpace/DSpace/issues/2822
    - c. The Curation Tasks PR has the same security issue, as it also allows the `--eperson` flag: https://github.com/DSpace/DSpace
    - d. Another problematic flag is the ability to specify an output file location, e.g. in `metadata-export`: https://github.com/DSpace /DSpace/issues/2821
- (45 mins) Planning for next week
  - Review of our Beta 4 Project Board

#### **Attendees**

- Art Lowel (Atmire)
- Andrea Bollini (4Science) Upcoming holiday July 27-Aug 7
- Lieven Droogmans Upcoming holiday Aug 3 Aug 7
- Giuseppe Digilio (4Science) Upcoming holiday July 31-Aug 21
- Ben Bosman On holiday week of July 20-24
- Paulo Graça
- Heather Greer Klein

#### 7.0 Release Goals

These resources define the prioritization and general schedule we are working towards

- DSpace 7 Release Goals: overview of goals/timelines & beta release process
- DSpace 7 Release Plan spreadsheet: our planning spreadsheet which details which features are scheduled for each Beta release.

#### **Current Work**

# **Project Board**

DSpace 7.0 Beta 4 Project Board: https://github.com/orgs/DSpace/projects/3

To quickly find PRs assigned to you for review, visit https://github.com/pulls/review-requested (This is also available in the GitHub header under "Pull Requests Review Requests"

### **Delayed / Needs Discussion**

- Finalize / approve the initial list of all authorization features which we should implement for the /api/authz/features REST endpoint. This list of
  features should be limited to only features which are required to enable/disable User Interface functionality. (In other words, we can always add
  more features in the future. We just need to approve the list necessary for 7.0)
  - a. Review current spreadsheet (from Andrea Bollini (4Science)): https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d /1182LcD\_WglZRbUGWpLtBw0aOMR9ihbOVB7GZqtTpR9A/edit?usp=sharing
    - i. Art Lowel (Atmire): I don't see any immediate issues with the current set of features, but I would prefer a consistent naming scheme. I'd use canDoSomething for everything
    - ii. Tim Donohue added possible renames of these features based on Art's idea (see cell comments in spreadsheet). I like the "can[DoSomething]" naming scheme as well.
- 2. Initial Performance Testing from Chris. Needs revisiting / retesting prior to 7.0.
  - a. https://cwilper.github.io/dspace-perftest/
  - **b.** These performance tests were run *prior to* the work on "projections" (to limit the data returned by the REST API). Therefore, it is likely performance is much improved, but needs verification testing.
- 3. (REST Contract) Edit Homepage News: https://github.com/DSpace/Rest7Contract/pull/45
  - a. Delayed. General agreement (in meeting on March 21, 2019) that storing HTML in metadata fields is not really ideal behavior. Metadata (from a librarian standpoint) tends to be free of format-related markup (as that allows for easier sharing, understanding of metadata. Currently Community & Collection homepage information is HTML-based and is stored in metadata that is appropriate for a minor subset of information (like the title) but it is better to move large/rich text to bitstreams.
  - b. Proposal here is to consider storing HTML-based markup (for Site, Community & Collection homepages) in Bitstream(s) associated with the object in question. May allow for more CMS-lite behavior in the future
  - c. Timeline for this is uncertain. Possibly in 7 or 8. May depend on how/whether it can be scoped.

#### Notes