## 2024-02-21 Ontology Interest Group Call

Meeting date	Attendees	Topics
21 Feb 2024	<ul> <li>Christian Hauschke</li> <li>Philip Strömert</li> <li>Melanie Wacker</li> <li>Brian Lowe</li> <li>Georgy Litvinov</li> <li>Anna Kasprzik</li> <li>Benjamin Kampe</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Automatized ontology publishing with ODK in Github on the example of https://github.com/NFDI4Chem //ibrationalSpectroscopyOntology</li> <li><i>CiTO use in public / productive VIVOs</i></li> </ul>

## Agenda & Notes

- Ontology release tooling (SHACL validation, Github Actions, automated release notes etc.) Philip Strömert
  - see also:
    - https://nfdi4chem.github.io/VibrationalSpectroscopyOntology/odk-workflows/
    - https://oboacademy.github.io/obook/reference/odk/
  - What would need to be done, if VIVO were to be migrated to ODK?
    - 1. install requirements (ODK Docker container and Make)
    - 2. build import modules for all existing VIVO ontology dependencies probably the most time-hungry step as this might need some tweaking in the custom Makefile
    - copy native VIVO terms into vivo-edit.owl, imported terms will be there via the import modules

       maybe think about, if you need/want a ROBOT tsv template pipeline as used in VIBSO
    - 4. activate documentation build pipeline and edit docs to entail what you need for your contributors
    - 5. make a release using the automated release build pipeline of ODK (sh run.sh make prepare\_release)
    - 6. publish new release
    - 7. complete OBO tutorial for ODK: https://oboacademy.github.io/obook/tutorial/odk-tutorial-2/
  - Philip started a migration in: https://github.com/vivo-ontologies/vivo-ontology-ODK
    - all edits are made on the /src/ontology/vivo-edit.owl
    - ODK configuration is done in the src/ontology/vivo-odk.yaml
      - possible parameters of this config yaml https://github.com/INCATools/ontology-development-kit/blob/master/docs /project-schema.md
    - import modules:
      - Philip already declared some standard OBO import: BFO, OMO, RO, IAO, OBI
        - BFO and OMO should always be mirrored as we want all of what is defined in them
          - already scraped all BFO declarations from the /src/ontology/vivo-edit.owl BFO dependency is clean
      - working on FOAF & VCARD import module
        - there are assertions on FOAF classes (annotations & subclassOf) living in the /src/ontology/vivo-edit.owl annotations can probably be deleted when already present in the FOAF source
        - VCARD:sound is declared as an DP but is originally an OP??? best to wait on cleaned up VIVO version before continuing the migration
    - Problems noticed so far:

first push led the CI/CD to fail because vivo is outside of the DL profile, which is considered a bad smell some axioms somewhere that can hopefully be dropped, see also https://douroucouli.wordpress.com/2021/03/24/avoid-mixing-parthood-with-cardinality-constraints/

- owl:sameAs was declared as an OP deleted
- there was a weird blank node axiom AnnotationAssertion(owl:minCardinality \_:genid2147483649 "1"^^xsd: nonNegativeInteger) deleted
- owl:DeprecatedProperty was declared as an OP deleted
- owl:minCardinality was declared as an annotation property --> deleted
- fixed in https://github.com/vivo-ontologies/vivo-ontology-ODK/pull/1
- http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/ARG\_ terms with this namespace only exist in VIVO ontology why not mint it there instead then and not use these unresolvable IRIs?
- about version history
  - this workflow depends on GitHub, so the better the PR and issue titles the easier it is to automatically let GH create a "what has changed" list from the merged PRs since the last tag, which will then be your release description
- http://robot.obolibrary.org/diff.html

Q by Egon Willighagen on Mastodon: https://mastodon.social/@egonw@social.edu.nl/111937329072554484 - Christian Hauschke

- Who is using CiTO in VIVO, in production?
  - CITO's partially in VIVO
  - some actual uses of it mentioned in https://jcheminf.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13321-024-00807-2 (in the references)
  - there was a lot of interest in the past around the launch of CiTO, but no productive use is known.
- Could the data be parsed/queried/scraped for export to Wikidata?
  - potentially yes, but no prod instance is known, see above.

## Ideas for future meetings

Release of 1.17.X