

Supporting the Semantic Web and Linked Data

Overview

- Exposing
 - Dereferenceable http URIs for resources
 - Relationships using dereferenceable http URIs
- ... made possible by the new REST API
- Extending the scope of relationships in the resource index

Current situation - identifiers

- Identifiers used
 - namespace:pid
 - info:fedora/namespace:pid
 - http://server:port/fedora/get/namespace:pid
 - http://server:port/objects/namespace:pid
- Issues
 - identifier scope
 - canonical

Current situation - relationships

- Single graph
- Fedora objects (or datastreams) as subjects
- Identifiers used are info:fedora/
- No support for “arbitrary” RDF – eg “lifting” of XML metadata
- Specification of relationships are in imperative code

Resource Identifiers [1, 2]

- Deprecate the “LITE” APIs (/get)
 - HTTP 301: Moved permanently
 - then remove in future release
- Define canonical dereferenceable URIs
 - using the REST API URIs

Support http URIs in relationships

[6]

- Relationship:
 - `<info:fedora/ns:pid1> <#isMemberOf> <info:fedora/ns:pid2>`
- Exposed as:
 - `<http://server/fedora/objects/ns:pid1> <#isMemberOf>`
<http://server/fedora/objects/ns:pid2>
- Query / results rewriting?
- Retain info:fedora for local/internal use
 - `/riearch?type=tuples&query=...&scope=local|global`

Support “arbitrary” RDF ^[3]

- Issue
 - create: myns:pid1 : <s1> <p1> <o1>
 - create: myns:pid2 : <s1> <p1> <o1>
 - RI contains: <s1> <p1> <o1>
 - delete: myns:pid1
 - <s1> <p1> <o1> deleted but myns:pid2 still asserts it
- Solution
 - Named graphs

Named Graphs ^[3]

- `<#some:pid1>` : graph containing triples asserted by object `some:pid1`
- `<#some:pid2>` : graph containing triples asserted by object `some:pid2`
- `<#some:pidn>` : graph containing triples asserted by object `some:pidn`
- `<#ri>` : defined as a view containing the above graphs
- Queries run over `<#ri>`

Named Graphs ^[3]

- If the same triple is asserted by two different objects, it appears in two graphs
- Query results contain one instance of the triple
- `some:pid1` deleted: triple still present in graph created for `some:pid2`

Mulgara and graphs ^[3]

- Mulgara Models (graphs) can be
 - A model containing triples
 - Definition of a “view”: union (or intersection) of other graphs
- Other triple stores?

Issues ^[3]

- Performance: Querying <#ri> involves querying a large number of underlying graphs
 - test
- Graph names
- “Pollution” of resource index with arbitrary triples
 - Separate graphs for
 - <#ri> : “core” triples
 - <#riUser> : “user” triples
 - <#riFull> : <#ri> UNION <#riUser>
- Free text graph(s)
- Triple Store support – MPTStore?
 - disable “arbitrary” graphs if triple store does not support?
- Hierarchy of graphs to use

Graph Hierarchy [3]

<#ri> - a view containing:

<#some:pid> - object graph for some:pid, a view containing:

<#some:pid/properties> - object properties triples

<#some:pid/datastreams> - a view containing:

<#some:pid/datastreams/rels-ext> - rels-ext triples

<#some:pid/datastreams/rels-int> - rels-int triples

<#some:pid/datastreams/dc> - DC triples

<#some:pid/datastreams/{rdf datastream}> - triples from rdf datastream

<#some:pid/datastreams/{dsid}/properties> - datastream properties

<#some:otherpid> - object graph for some:otherpid, a view containing:

<#some:otherpid/properties> - etc

<#some:otherpid/datastreams> - etc

Only object graphs necessary to support main requirement

Specifying triples for objects ^[4]

- Currently generated by code
 - object and datastream properties, “default” content model
 - “conversion” of DC to triples
 - RELS-EXT, RELS-INT

Declarative specification of triples

[4]

- Content model specifies which datastreams to index
 - RDF datastreams
 - XSLT/GRDDL etc for XML (and other) datastreams
 - Object methods producing RDF
- XSLT for object and datastream properties

Mechanism ^[4]

- System object methods for generating core triples
- User content model object methods for generating user triples
- eg expose through REST API
 - `/objects/some:pid/relationships`
- Update triple store using these methods
 - Move out of core DOManager code, implement using decorator?

REST API ^[6]

- GET /objects/some:pid/relationships
 - /objects/some:pid/datastream/DC/relationships?
 - Content negotiation (Accept: application/rdf+xml)
 - URI parameter (?format=rdf)
- Other verbs
 - POST: set of triples to add
 - DELETE: set of triples to delete
 - PUT: modification, eg SPARQL Update
- Generic methods
 - update “core triples” (easy to identify source)
 - update arbitrary (specified) datastream
 - potential overlap between RELS-EXT and arbitrary datastream
- Operate directly on objects (not on triple store)
- SOAP API

Finally...

- Fedora generally sits behind an application
- Resource identifiers exposed by the application may not be Fedora resource URIs
- `/library/display?&resourceID=some%3Apid`