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An important bit of background...
We don't really use FEDORA

- **FEDORA was** a strategic choice in 2007
  - VTLS Vital to provide institutional repository (ora.ox.ac.uk)
  - Project failed, ORA public interface subsequently rewritten from scratch
  - VTLS Valet (open source) continues to provide deposit workflow
  - ORA the only digital content system still based on FEDORA

- **What we liked about FEDORA**
  - Flexible generic object model – multiple datastreams with versioning
  - Semantic model – RELS-INT/RELS-EXT
  - REST API
  - Storage abstraction

- **What we didn't like about FEDORA**
  - Unnecessary wrappering – FOXML not good for preservation of active objects
    - Active-use is the major economic justification for preservation
    - No, we don't like METS either!
  - Lack of modularity – external triple-store grief
  - Feature bloat – content models, XACML etc. - made worse by lack of modularity
  - Installation (need I say more)
  - Silent periods
CDL Microservices

- CDL “What's the minimum amount of code you need to add to a filesystem to make it look like a repository?”
  - Pairtree, Namaste, BagIt
  - Pluggable Web Services provide bulk of repository/preservation functionality
- Ben O'Steen “What's the minimum amount of code we need to add to a Microservices repository to make it look like a FEDORA repository”
  - Enough like a FEDORA repository to do the things we like
  - But retaining the scalability and modularity of the Microservices model

...rather less than we thought!

- Databank came into being in 2008
- 2010-12 JISC/HEFCE (UMF) funded Admiral/DataFlow
  - Prototype/productionise DataStage/DataBank
  - Libraries, Computing Services, OeRC, IBRG, UKOLN, Canonical
  - Lightweight data management/archiving
DataBank

- Bodleian Data Repository (in dev since 2008) parallels ORA
- “Data” currently defined as “Research outputs that don't fit in ORA”
- File and metadata format agnostic
  - supports packages (zip & tar)
  - component subaddressing
- Built on “FEDORA-Lite” object model
- Assigns DataCite DOI's
- Manages embargos
  - Secure, dark archive is segregated
- Manual and SWORD2 deposit
- REST API
- Debian Packages or OVF
Microservices orchestrated using message queues
- Event streams act as schedule, log and provenance
- Queues can be exposed externally

Search/browse interface
- SOLR built-in
- REST API provides meaningful responses to requests for text/html

Multi-streamed RDF Metadata
- Segregated by type/accessibility
- Other XML metadata also supported

Split authentication/authorization
- Systems integration

Unix file system semantics
- Less abstraction?
- LTFS
So...why Hydra?

The subset of FEDORA functions implemented by DataBank/ASTROS almost exactly matches the subset used by (most) Hydra heads. This subset, in essence, characterises a generic semantic object store. We can use this for pretty much everything...
Hydra in the near future

- Replace VTLS Valet for ORA ingest
  - Still running over FEDORA at this point
  - Migration off FEDORA still planned

- Consolidate legacy digitized materials
  - Migrate into ASTROS from many scattered servers/websites
  - Publish through Digital.Bodleian (Armadillo)
  - Metadata is somewhat variable MARC->MODS->METS
    - Need a MODS editor

- Archival materials
  - Physical, hybrid and electronic
  - EAD is problematic

- Shared Canvas/IIIF
  - Viewer encapsulated as a Hydra head
  - Annotation/transcription tools
Objects have a context from which much of their meaning is derived
- Include context objects representing people, places, events etc.
- Catalogues become contextual skeletons fleshed-out by “traditional” digital objects
- Authority lists become prosopographical and biographical resources
- Geopolitical and temporal information
- Aggregations become a key structural element
- Should reflect actual knowledge – conflict with cataloguing practice!

Annotations and files can be attached to any object
- Context objects hold content...what is the difference?

Objects are not static – preservation challenge...and benefit!

This model works for almost any content from any period
DataFinder

- Catalogue/registry of research data
  - Wherever and whatever it is!
  - OAI-PMH harvesting of external data stores
  - Manual record entry for non-electronic or non-harvestable data
- Search/browse interface
- DataReporter module
  - CERIF compatible
  - Analytics as well as content statistics
- Core Metadata schema based on DataCite
- Interfaces with many systems
  - “Hub” Of RDM activity
- Hierarchical architecture
  - Local catalogues, subjects specific or inter-institutional catalogues possible
Questions?
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