

2019-05-13 Designing a Migration Path Meeting

Time/Place

Time: 11:00am ET

Audio Conference:

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://duraspace.zoom.us/j/5769796461>

One tap mobile

+16699006833,,5769796461# US (San Jose)

+16468769923,,5769796461# US (New York)

Dial by your location

+1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)

+1 646 876 9923 US (New York)

Meeting ID: 576 979 6461

Find your local number: <https://zoom.us/u/afJIZ8XWA>

Attendees

- [Erin Tripp](#)
- [David Wilcox](#)
- [Andrew Woods](#)
- [Este Pope](#)
- [Michael J. Giarlo](#)
- [Mark Jordan](#)
- [Timothy Shearer](#)
- [Sayeed Choudhury](#)
- [Scott Prater](#)
- [Andrew Weidner](#)

Absent

Agenda/ Notes

[Designing a Migration Path](#) - collection of project resources

| Topic | Lead |
|--|-------|
| Survey summary review and discussion | David |
| Brainstorm recommendations based on survey results and other artifacts <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 62 people provided their contact info for follow up engagement. To fill in gaps in the data<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ 63% of people are undecided of if they'll move away from Fedora.◦ Este - our Design plans for 6.0 are ready and we can share it with the potential pilots. What aren't we thinking of???◦ What barriers are there to piloting Fedora 6.0? Can we provide the support they need?◦ If we build Fedora 6.0 and the migration tooling - would you actually implement it?◦ Tim - Ask - have you used LDP?◦ Sayeed - Ask – Do you need project management help? I think it's a big barrier.◦ ACTION - group come up with list of questions to clarify survey responses and validate some of our plans for 6x• Tim - Cross tab the data - by # of objects, what kinds of objects, and find out what outcomes or tooling would impact the most amount of people or help preserve the most amount of objects.• Andrew - Fedora 6.x is happening now at the same time as granting next steps. We would like to include pilot projects in the grant phase. We need that level of community engagement in the development process.• David - we need to talk to people on Fedora 3 and haven't migrated because 4-5 isn't meeting their needs and try to validate that Fedora 6.x will. Respondents talked about some of the missing features, e.g. ppl who liked the file system layout from Fedora 3.x. Good to have the contact info and cross-reference with version to help us find those potential pilot organizations.• Este - what are the barriers to being a pilot?? We should find that out. Could we fill the gaps?• Erin - Market analysis to supplement our community engagement. | Erin |

| | |
|---|------|
| Next phase grant scope discussion | Erin |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • People care. Lots of responses and lots of emotion in the responses. • Need to do the focus group discussions before getting into this. • How does the second phase grant application timeline fit with the Fedora 6.0 development timeline? We will ask Sandy Nyberg for advice on which funders to approach for support. • Sayeed - approach funders for foundations. The officers have discretion for officers grants and they range from \$25-75k. It's much more lightweight. And they turn around within weeks. • Este - let's strawman a migration implementation team - who would be on it, what expertise, what parts would be subsidized? What are the requirements on the client/organization? • Tim - +1 to Sayeed we should focus on a few organizations and do a deep dive. | |
| Items for follow up | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How do we define a major upgrade? Does this work? "A major upgrade may impact underlying technologies, data models, standards for description, and functionality meaning the upgrade will require re-modeling and migrating data." Do we follow up with respondents on this? • Responses related to LDP being the favorite Fedora 4.x feature compared to what the Leaders Group is saying and asking us to diminish the feature in our communications. Why are we seeing a discrepancy? Is a favorite feature different from what they use? • Take a look at the questions that have a lot of write-in responses. These haven't been analyzed in detail. • Look at all of the alternatives. Can all of these be sustainability? There are so many community-supported options. What does the long term look for this group as a whole? Are there too many options? • If we build content migration tooling - would people really use it? A lot of respondents • Sayeed - Pick Case studies for a few organizations who will actually migrate. • Tim - making sure preservation activities endure. In Fedora 2020 organizations on versions of Fedora ahead of Fedora 4 - that would be a big win. But we need to find out if people have staff or metadata mi • Erin - could this be like the consulting program Scott bought up? Could we spin up service and have it in part subsidized? LYR wants to take the crazy out of migrations. It will take education/setting expectations, communication, and services. • Sayeed - could LYRASIL run this service? • Erin - Yes, but IMLS isn't the right partner to help us spin up or subsidize a service. A foundation would be a good partner. • David is going to Mellon on Thursday. • Erin - for this to fit the people we want to help the service would need to cost less than 10k to migration a collection of 100,000 objects. • Sayeed - folks need project management help too. I think it's a big barrier. • Tim - The team should be at least 4 people on this migration specialist team. Those people need to have job security and benefits. We shouldn't do this with grad students. We can't do this on the cheap. • Mark - WRT migration tools, I assume that users understand that to mean "metadata migration tools", which sounds like an unrealistically broad scope. • Anytime we're making a decision about Fedora 6.0 we should look at it through the lense of Fedora 3. • Erin - ACTION- INTERVIEW service providers to see if they would use the tools we want to build. They didn't respond to the survey. Would be good to hear from them. | |

Notes

Actions