

# 2019-08-14 Specification meeting

## Date

24 Jun 2019

<https://duraspace.zoom.us/j/593874187>

Find your local number: <https://zoom.us/j/593874187>

## Attendees

- [Michael Ritter](#)
- [Bill Branan](#)
- [tamsin johnson](#)
- [Sibyl Schaefer](#)

## Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
	Tom's questions		<p>Left TBD behind in Flow spec is resolved. Corresponding updates to Repository spec. Is this an adequate resolution? Yes. Note on cache - could just be a proxy pass through, 300-level response.</p> <p>Service level description at top of Gateway API. JSON for describing.</p> <p>PUT object has new request header specifying which storage classes/DDPs to post to. Resolves note at bottom. Storage class terminology comes out of AWS. Note that naming should be discussed later.</p>
	Versioning		<p>Use case number 2. Repository to Gateway: this involves a number of PUT object requests.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• In order to support this use case, the Gateway has to be able to accept a version parameter from the Repo. Need to pass that parameter to the Bridge and roundtrip it. Version parameter has to be semantical to reconstruct if Repo is lost.</li><li>• Starting point: add version header to requests, see how that looks<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>◦ Version header content semantics: ordinal numbers, date/timestamps. Date/timestamps follow other standards - Momento. <b>Decision: date/timestamps.</b></li><li>◦ Expectations on PUT header call from the repository to the Gateway uses timestamp. Don't pass a version? Is version required on all PUTS?<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Restore request - get whatever's cached vs getting the latest version back.</li><li>▪ Symmetrical headers across the board solve the problem. Assume exact matching.</li><li>▪ List versions in place. Versions are understandable - IDs of filegroups and timestamps are understandable from Gateway and Bridge. "Give me a listing of all objects and all versions of those objects for a given repository."</li></ul></li><li>◦ Questions for next discussion<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ What is the recovery workflow for versions?</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>
			<p><b>Spec changes needed</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Gateway: PUT Object call will need a version header</li><li>• Gateway: DELETE Object call will need a version header</li><li>• Gateway: POST Object Restore call will need a version header</li><li>• Gateway: A new endpoint will be needed to retrieve a listing of deposited objects and associated versions (to support the rebuild use case)</li><li>• Bridge: Deposit Content call will need to support version value for each file</li><li>• Bridge: Delete Content call will need to support version value for each file</li><li>• Bridge: List Deposited Content will need to support version value for each file</li><li>• Bridge: Restore Content call will need to support version value for each file</li></ul> <p><b>Outstanding Questions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• For each call, what is "default" if no version is provided?</li><li>• What is the recovery workflow for versions?<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>◦ If the Repository needs a file from a given date range (moment-style), will the Gateway be the place where the file to be restored is determined (e.g. retrieve list of versions, select most appropriate, perform restore call)?</li></ul></li><li>• Is there a need for the Bridge calls (Deposit/Delete/List) calls to associate versions with filegroups or is files sufficient? If filegroups are versioned, do files need to be; having both may cause confusion.</li><li>• Are version values required on deposit?</li></ul>

## Action items

-