2020-09-10 DSpace 7 Working Group Meeting

- Date
- Agenda
- Attendees
- 7.0 Release Goals
- Current Work
 - Project Board
 - Delayed / Needs Discussion
- Notes

Date

10 Sep 2020 from 14:00-15:00 UTC

Location: https://lyrasis.zoom.us/my/dspace (Meeting ID: 502 527 3040).

• More connection options available at DSpace Meeting Room

Beta 4 Sprint : Ongoing

- Beta 4 active development
- DSpace 7 Project Boards
- View PRs assigned to you for review/testing: https://github.com/pulls/review-requested

Agenda

- (BEFORE MEETING IN #dev-sprint) Developer Stand Up Developers give brief updates on their effort (or their team's effort).
 - Update/see "Current Work" section below based on your status. Please feel free to update prior to meeting.
 - o Please highlight any new work (needing reviews/testing), any blockers (for you), and any discussion topics you may have.
- (10 mins) General Discussion Topics
 - 1. Quick Note: From their meeting this week, DCAT is wanting to establish a "DSpace 7 Documentation Task Force" in collaboration with the DSpace 7 Marketing Working Group (2016-2020) and our DSpace 7 Working Group (2016-2023). Heather & Tim will be helping Maureen Walsh (DCAT Chair) to find task force participants. They are looking to setup a Documentation "sprint" process (starting in early Oct), with a "kickoff" meeting in late Sept (TBD). If anyone is interested in taking part, get in touch.
 - 2. Beta 4 PR Deadline of Weds, Sept 23. Any PRs after that date will not be in Beta 4. Overall goal is to try to release Beta 4 at end of Sept or very early Oct.
- (50 mins) Planning for next week
 - Review of our Beta 4 Project Board

Attendees

- Art Lowel (Atmire)
- Andrea Bollini (4Science)
- Tim Donohue
- Lieven Droogmans
- Giuseppe Digilio (4Science)
- Ben Bosman
- Paulo Graça
- Heather Greer Klein

7.0 Release Goals

These resources define the prioritization and general schedule we are working towards

- DSpace 7 Release Goals : overview of goals/timelines & beta release process
- DSpace 7 Release Plan spreadsheet: our planning spreadsheet which details which features are scheduled for each Beta release.

Current Work

Project Board

DSpace 7.0 Beta 4 Project Board: https://github.com/orgs/DSpace/projects/3

To quickly find PRs assigned to you for review, visit https://github.com/pulls/review-requested (This is also available in the GitHub header under "Pull Requests Review Requests"

Delayed / Needs Discussion

- 1. Finalize / approve the initial list of all authorization features which we should implement for the /api/authz/features REST endpoint. This list of features should be limited to only features which are required to enable/disable User Interface functionality. (In other words, we can always add more features in the future. We just need to approve the list necessary for 7.0)
 - a. Review current spreadsheet (from Andrea Bollini (4Science)): https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d /1182LcD_WqIZRbUGWpLtBw0aOMR9jhbOVB7GZqtTpR9A/edit?usp=sharing
 - i. Art Lowel (Atmire): I don't see any immediate issues with the current set of features, but I would prefer a consistent naming scheme. I'd use canDoSomething for everything
 - ii. Tim Donohue added possible renames of these features based on Art's idea (see cell comments in spreadsheet). I like the "can[DoSomething]" naming scheme as well.
- 2. Initial Performance Testing from Chris. Needs revisiting / retesting prior to 7.0.
 - a. https://cwilper.github.io/dspace-perftest/
 - b. These performance tests were run *prior to* the work on "projections" (to limit the data returned by the REST API). Therefore, it is likely performance is much improved, but needs verification testing.
- 3. (REST Contract) Edit Homepage News: https://github.com/DSpace/Rest7Contract/pull/45
 - a. Delayed. General agreement (in meeting on March 21, 2019) that storing HTML in metadata fields is not really ideal behavior. Metadata (from a librarian standpoint) tends to be free of format-related markup (as that allows for easier sharing, understanding of metadata. Currently Community & Collection homepage information is HTML-based and is stored in metadata that is appropriate for a minor subset of information (like the title) but it is better to move large/rich text to bitstreams.
 - b. Proposal here is to consider storing HTML-based markup (for Site, Community & Collection homepages) in Bitstream(s) associated with the object in question. May allow for more CMS-lite behavior in the future
 - c. Timeline for this is uncertain. Possibly in 7 or 8. May depend on how/whether it can be scoped.

Notes