

# ArchReviewNotesTues

## DSpace Architectural Review

Notes from Tuesday, 24 Oct 2006 (JSE)

### I. Review of Data Model (Rob)

See also: [DSpace Data Model](#)

- Communities
- Collections
- Items
  - all items have a Submitter who is an ePerson
  - MDRecord (flat: name, value pairs)
  - fields
  - metadata schema
- ePersons
  - permissions for items
- bundles
  - name
- bitstreams
  - size
  - checksum
  - name
  - description
  - format
  - sequence number
- bitstream format
  - name
  - long name
  - mime/type
  - file extension

### II. Problems with the Data Model

#### 1. Versioning (Rob)

- Rob's versioning idea (item-level down)
  - "snapshot" of an item at a instant of time
  - coarser-grained notion of "transaction"
    - "logical item change"
    - makes dealing with "events" easier
    - sort-of wiki "locking" model (i.e. very short-term)
  - concept: items are immutable
    - previous "versions" never go away (maybe policy driven)
    - encapsulating higher-level item object
  - What about e.g. Pre-/Post-print versioning?
  - discussion about identification syntax for versions...
    - also, Handles and versioning
  - (ms) issues of complexity presented to users
    - policies concerning displaying major and minor changes
    - keeping around all copies, etc

#### 2. Identifiers

- Q: should DSpace dictate the identifier system?
  - if so, should that be HS?
- Rationale: concern over long-term interoperability
  - avoiding "Tower of Babel"
- separate issue: if the org has a HDL prefix, it needs to be a HDL
- concern over long-term, exit strategies, etc...
- (hj) HS is an RFC and CNRI patent protects implementations thereof
- (jmo) (service, namespace, resource\_in\_namespace)
- (Rob) if we treat everything as uri or opaque string...

**\*RECOMMENDATION:** Each CONTENT COMPONENT should have some sort of PERSISTENT IDENTIFIER associated with it

#### 3. Metadata Flexibility Options

- Structural (e.g. METS)
  - today, no way to specify structure and relationships

- Descriptive
  - today, item-level descriptive MD
- Representational
- Binding metadata to structure
- Use cases (high level):
  - Versions (alternatives)
  - Versions (versioning)
  - Complex file structures
- (MS) from a library perspective, whether something is a unique work or not
- Lengthy discussion of metadata as bitstreams
  - and TYPEs of bundles
- (hj) should DSpace allow item-specific md models?
  - reaction in room: wow, huge implications
  - (Rob) crosswalks required
  - problems with user interface
  - e.g. have subsystem whose job it is to deal with MD in specific way
- (Rob) all of this is possible with the current architecture
  - media filter to convert whatever the scheme is into DC (e.g. for OAI)
- (MS) ultimate model would be RDF, someday

**RECOMMENDATION:** Always must be able to CROSSWALK to DC

- mechanism should be the default (DC)
- but MD typing mechanism/bundling needs to be extensible
- currently there are examples (oai, mit 'dwell') that allow asking for specific
- JD: Right now, flat metadata structure is the bottleneck
- (RJ) Perhaps we need to think about what it means to be an item in DSpace
  - a specification we put out
  - (MS) Larry Stone's "IP" proposal
    - canonical components of a "DSpace Item"
    - i.e. a manifest of the AIP, with structure map
    - then, arbitrary complex objects
    - MIT's purpose is for interop with SRB

**RECOMMENDATION:** Put "whether to keep bundles or not" on Half-Baked list

#### 4. Relational Metadata

- inter-item relationships
- intra-item relationships
- between bitstreams, bundles, etc
  - sets of bitstreams to sets of bitstreams
- between objects
- Q (Rob) Bundles and bitstreams?

#### 5. Content Format Support

#### 6. Aggregation

#### 7. JSR-170

- versioning?

#### 8. Terminology

- bitstream vs datastream vs...

## III. Interfaces and Modularity

#### 1. Review of the APIs (Rob)

#### 2. Pain Points: Enumerating the reoccurring types of mods that break things

- JSPs
- Servlets
- Ingest workflow
- metadata extensions
  - esp. adding new fields
  - downstream indexing

- browsing
- Authentication
  - issue: synching with ePerson database
- Authorization
- Code Protection on content classes
  - i.e. for extensions on content classes
- Persistent data store for extensions

### 3. Are we going to decide to stay with servlets and JSPs

- or discard and move toward e.g. Manakin?
- To be discussed (below)

### 4. Much discussion of the current layering ("Application"/"Business Logic"/"Storage")

- (MD) We need to understand why certain code keeps getting replicated

### 5. Overview of the AddOnMechanism (RJ)

- See [ AddOnMechanism Wiki|<http://wiki.dspace.org/index.php/AddOnMechanism>]
- See [ AddOnMechanism presentation|<https://bora.uib.no/bitstream/1956/1156/2/presentation-1.0.pdf>]

### 6. Summary: What should we be able to do without changing code? (Rob)

- Add persistent storage for customizations
- Add new UI pages, link to new pages from existing pages
- Modify existing UI pages
- Modify workflow

### 7. DSpace Manakin Overview (SP)

See: [ DSpace Manakin Wiki|<http://wiki.dspace.org/index.php/Manakin>]

- Pain points
  - Upgradability
  - Modularity
  - Uniformity
- Aspects and Themes
  - Aspects contain Java source code, static resources, Cocoon's sitemap
- Manakin solves these pain-points:
  - JSPs
  - Servlets
  - MD extensions (certain cases)
  - workflow (UI aspects)
- Draft Recommendation (SP)
  - first, embrace the AddOnMechanism
  - proposed road map:
    - 1.5: JSPUI full support, initial version with XMLUI
    - 1.6: JSPUI full support, XMLUI full support & rec'd
    - 1.7: JSPUI depreciated, XMLUI full support & rec'd
    - 2.x: XMLUI only

### \*What are the alternatives to Manakin?

- ◦ Are there potential incompatibilities with other of these frameworks?
- ◦ (sp) Could put Manakin into the same source tree as JSPs
- **(Rob) Is there a really-really dumbed-down version of the AddOnMechanism that could be put in to the\*Main tree**
  - (sp) "A day's worth of work..."

### 8. OSGi Overview (RR)

- Open Services Gateway Initiative
- See esp. [OSGi Technology web site](#)
- See [OSGi Technical Whitepaper](#)

### \*What are the alternatives to OSGi?

- ◦ [Spring Framework](#)
- **RJ's AddOnMechanism,\*but** it isn't complete
  - (ms) Strategy: Choose framework, get resources, do analysis to identify APIs, implement...

### 9. What about Maven? (gt)

- "Maven is about the application of patterns in order to achieve an infrastructure which displays the characteristics of visibility, reusability, maintainability, and comprehensibility..."
- "Maven uses a declarative approach, where the project structure and contents are described, rather than the task-based approach used in Ant or in traditional make files ... This helps enforce ... development standards and reduces the time needed to write and maintain build scripts..."
- Another option to consider for making the add-on build process a "little easier to do"

### \*What are the alternatives to Maven?

-

- Ant
- (jd) Maven does what it wants to do
  - (rr) It enforces "patterns" across an org (see above)

10. What is our recommendation?

- where to focus resources?
- should the focus be on major refactoring?
- should the focus instead be on key pain points (e.g. persistent storage)?
- (rob) multiple trajectories
- (md) need some reorg of code base
  - keep dependencies separate and isolated
  - once isolated, then refactor/define the interfaces
  - fix what breaks
  - use the tools (e.g. Eclipse)
  - need to experiment!
- (rj) But if we refactor the information model, we'll need to refactor the core anyways
- (jd) we're not starting from scratch!
  - need to define the goal and go there

11. Break-time discussion of what level of difficulty refactoring should take on, and how it might be managed...

12. (MS) Attempt at summary

- Manakin with an AddOnMechanism addresses a lot of the pain points
- **See above: Short-term solution putting simplified AddOnMechanism in\*main tree**
- A refactoring will be required with the refactored information model
- There will be a 2.0 with an AddOnMechanism more like OSGi (see JSE questions above)
- (MS) RJ's approach is a short-term but not long-term
- NEED: "Plug-in framework" (e.g. OSGi) plus "build framework" (e.g. Maven)