# **NewDublinCore**

# Adapting DSpace to Current Dublin Core Standards

# **Current Dublin Core Status**

## 1998-2008

There were 15 dublin core elements in the dc: namespace. All of these elements were optional and repeatable. DSpace, OAI-PMH, ... were based on these elements.

contributor, coverage, creator, date, description, format, identifier, language, publisher, relation, rights, source, subject, title, type

#### Post 2008

The existing 15 elements were extended with range and domain and new elements were added. To ensure "backwards compatibility" with existing implementations, these new changes were located in a new namespace, **dcterms**:

So dc:creator can't be confused with dcterms:creator, a property that can now have a range and domain.

http://dublincore.org/documents/dcmi-terms/

# Goals for DSpace

## Exposing DSpace metadata in the dcterms namespace

If other new standards or applications will require the use of the dcterms: namespace instead of the dc: namespace, DSpace won't be able to comply.

# Implementing/Verify range for properties that require this - Needed for both New and Existing properties

Range defines the vocabulary or syntax which must be followed by a property. For example, the coverage property has following range:

http://purl.org/dc/terms/LocationPeriodOrJurisdiction

Examples: Format has the range MediaTypeorExtent and it's recommended to use the MIME types there.

# Implementing/Verify domain for properties that require this - Only needed for New Properties

If a dcterm property specifies a domain, it means that the property can only be applied to a specific type of item it describes.

Example: The new term BibliographicCitation has the domain Bibliographic resource. This means that by specifying the property BibliographicCitation, it automatically implies that the item you're applying it to is a bibliographic resource.

# Concrete changes for specific existing properties

- Contributor
  - o Domain: none
  - · Range: Agent Class
- Coverage
  - Domain: none
  - Range: LocationPeriodOrJurisdiction (recommended controlled vocabulary like Thesaurus of Geographic Names (TGN))
- Creator
  - o Domain: none
  - o Range: Agent Class
- Date
- o Domain: none
- o Range: Literal (recommended W3CDTF profile of ISO 8601)
- Description
  - Domain: none
  - o Range: none
- Format
  - o Domain: none
  - $^{\circ} \ \ \text{Range: MediaTypeOrExtent (Recommended list of internet media types (MIME))}.$

- Identifier
  - Domain: noneRange: Literal
- Language
  - Domain: none
  - o Range: LinguisticSystem (Recommended RFC 4646 IETF standard)
- Publisher
  - Domain: noneRange: Agent
- Relation
  - o Domain: none
  - o Range: none (YET)
  - Note: This term is intended to be used with NON-LITERAL values. As of December 2007, the DCMI Usage board is seeking a way to
    express this intention with a formal range declaration.
- Rights
  - o Domain: none
  - Range: RightsStatement
- Source
  - o Domain: none
  - Range: none (YET)
  - Note: This term is intended to be used with NON-LITERAL values. As of December 2007, the DCMI Usage board is seeking a way to
    express this intention with a formal range declaration.
- Subject
  - o Domain: none
  - ° Range: none (YET) For spatial or temporal topic of the resource, use the Coverage element instead.
  - Note: This term is intended to be used with NON-LITERAL values. As of December 2007, the DCMI Usage board is seeking a way to
    express this intention with a formal range declaration.
- Title
- o Domain: none
- o Range: Literal
- Type
  - o Domain:none
  - Range: Any Class? (reference needed) (Recommended usage is the DCMI Type Vocabulary (DCMIType). For file format, physical medium or dimensions: use format!

# New properties

Following properties are recent and haven't been part of the standard DSpace metadata schema. However, some of these overlap with other properties in DSpace

- Abstract
  - o Domain: none
  - Range: none
  - o Possible DSpace overlap: dc.description.abstract
- accessRights
  - Domain: none
  - o Range: RightsStatement
  - O Possible DSpace overlap: ..... (to add)
- accrualMethod
  - o Domain: Collection
  - o Range: MethodOfAccrual
  - Possible DSpace overlap: none?
- accrualPeriodicity
  - O Domain: Collection
  - o Range: Frequency
  - Possible DSpace overlap: none?
- accrualPolicy
  - Domain: Collection
  - o Range: Policy
  - Possible DSpace overlap: collection policies?
- alternative
  - o Domain: none
  - o Range: Literal
  - Possible DSpace overlap: dc.title.alternative
- audience

- o Domain: none
- o Range: AgentClass
- Possible DSpace overlap: none?

#### available

- O Domain: none
- o Range: Literal
- Possible DSpace overlap: dc.date.available

#### • bibliographicCitation - INTERESTING ONE

- O Domain: BibliographicResource
- o Range: Literal
- Possible DSpace overlap: dc.identifier.citation

#### conformsTo

- o Domain: none
- o Range: Standard
- Possible DSpace overlap: none?

#### created

- o Domain: none
- o Range: Literal
- Possible DSpace overlap: dc.date.created

#### dateAccepted

- Domain:none
- o Range: Literal
- Possible DSpace overlap: none?

#### dateCopyrighted

- Domain:none
- o Range: Literal
- Possible DSpace overlap: embargo solutions?

#### dateSubmitted

- o Domain:none
- o Range: Literal
- Possible DSpace overlap: dc.date.submitted

#### educationLevel

- o Domain:none
- Range:AgentClass
- Possible DSpace overlap: none?

#### extent

- o Domain:none
- o Range: SizeOrDuration
- Possible DSpace overlap: dc.format.extent

#### • hasFormat

- o Domain: none
- o Range: none (YET)
- Note: This term is intended to be used with NON-LITERAL values. As of December 2007, the DCMI Usage board is seeking a way to
  express this intention with a formal range declaration.
- o Possible DSpace overlap: none?

#### hasPart

- O Domain: none
- o Range: none (YET)
- Note: This term is intended to be used with NON-LITERAL values. As of December 2007, the DCMI Usage board is seeking a way to
  express this intention with a formal range declaration.
- O Possible DSpace overlap: dc.relation.haspart

#### hasVersion

- o Domain: none
- o Range: none (YET)
- Note: This term is intended to be used with NON-LITERAL values. As of December 2007, the DCMI Usage board is seeking a way to
  express this intention with a formal range declaration.
- Possible DSpace overlap: dc.relation.hasversion

#### instructionalMethod

- o Domain: none
- Range: MethodOfInstruction
- Possible DSpace overlap: none?

#### isFormatOf

- o Domain: none
- o Range: none (YET)
- Note: This term is intended to be used with NON-LITERAL values. As of December 2007, the DCMI Usage board is seeking a way to
  express this intention with a formal range declaration.

O Possible DSpace overlap: dc.relation.isformatof

#### isPartOf

- o Domain: none
- o Range: none (YET)
- Note: This term is intended to be used with NON-LITERAL values. As of December 2007, the DCMI Usage board is seeking a way to
  express this intention with a formal range declaration.
- Possible DSpace overlap: dc.relation.ispartof

#### isReferencedBy

- o Domain: none
- Range: none (YET)
- Note: This term is intended to be used with NON-LITERAL values. As of December 2007, the DCMI Usage board is seeking a way to
  express this intention with a formal range declaration.
- O Possible DSpace overlap: dc.relation.isreferencedby

#### isReplacedBy

- o Domain: none
- o Range: none (YET)
- Note: This term is intended to be used with NON-LITERAL values. As of December 2007, the DCMI Usage board is seeking a way to
  express this intention with a formal range declaration.
- o Possible DSpace overlap: dc.relation.isreplacedby

#### isRequiredBy

- Domain: none
- o Range: none (YET)
- Note: This term is intended to be used with NON-LITERAL values. As of December 2007, the DCMI Usage board is seeking a way to
  express this intention with a formal range declaration.
- O Possible DSpace overlap: none?

#### issued

- o Domain: none
- o Range: Literal
- Possible DSpace overlap: dc.date.issued

#### isVersionOf

- o Domain: none
- o Range: none (YET)
- Note: This term is intended to be used with NON-LITERAL values. As of December 2007, the DCMI Usage board is seeking a way to
  express this intention with a formal range declaration.
- O Possible DSpace overlap: dc.relation.isversionof

# • license TRICKY ONE

- o Domain: none
- o Range: LicenseDocument
- O Possible DSpace overlap: item license

#### mediator

- o Domain: none
- o Range: AgentClass
- Possible DSpace overlap: none?

#### medium

- O Domain: PhysicalResource
- Range: PhysicalMedium
- O Possible DSpace overlap: dc.format.medium

#### modified

- o Domain: none
- o Range: Literal
- Possible DSpace overlap: dc.date.updated (wasn't there a dc.date.modified????)

#### provenance

- o Domain: none
- o Range: ProvenanceStatement
- o Possible DSpace overlap: dc.description.provenance

#### references

- o Domain: none
- o Range: none (YET)
- Note: This term is intended to be used with NON-LITERAL values. As of December 2007, the DCMI Usage board is seeking a way to
  express this intention with a formal range declaration.
- Possible DSpace overlap: dc.citation ???????????

## replaces

- o Domain: none
- o Range: none (YET)
- Note: This term is intended to be used with NON-LITERAL values. As of December 2007, the DCMI Usage board is seeking a way to
  express this intention with a formal range declaration.
- O Possible DSpace overlap: dc.relation.replaces

- requires
  - o Domain: none

  - Range: none (YET)
     Note: This term is intended to be used with NON-LITERAL values. As of December 2007, the DCMI Usage board is seeking a way to express this intention with a formal range declaration.
  - Possible DSpace overlap: dc.relation.requires
- rightsHolder
  - o Domain: none

  - Range: Agent
     Possible DSpace overlap: dc.rights.holder
- spatial
  - o Domain: none
  - o Range: Location
  - O Possible DSpace overlap: dc.coverage.spatial

# Possible Approaches

# Adding a new schema dcterms that lives next to the standard dc schema

## Pro

- Possibly easier to ensure backwards compatibility, as we're not touching the standard schema.

#### Con

• ...