

# 2011-08-23 - Committer Meeting

## Time/Place

This meeting is a hybrid teleconference and IRC chat. Anyone is welcome to join...here's the info:

- Time: [11 am Eastern Daylight Time US \(UTC-4\)](#)
- Voice
  - Via Skype+: Dial from anywhere: \+9900827047086940 (callto://+9900827047086940)
  - Via Phone+: US Number: +1 (201) 793-9022, Room #7086940
- IRC:
  - [Join the #duraspace chat room via Freenode Web IRC](#) (enter a unique nick)
  - Or point your IRC client to #duraspace on irc.freenode.net

## Agenda

1. GSoC Update
  - a. [Progress so far](#)
  - b. Next steps?
2. 3.5 has been released!
  - a. Any comments or last minute additions to the [Release Notes](#)?
3. Thoughts on future 3.5.1, 3.6, or 4.0 release?
  - a. In-progress issue: [FCREPO-951](#)
  - b. In-progress issue: [FCREPO-238](#)
4. Do we want to hold Git special topic meeting?
  - a. What have we liked or disliked so far?
  - b. Do we want to solicit opinions for improved procedure?
    - i. Chris puts forth <http://nvie.com/posts/a-successful-git-branching-model/> for ideas
5. [Currently open issues](#)
6. [Recently submitted issues](#)

## Notes

- GSoC ends today. Code is in, works. Still need to work on tests
  - We shall add an issue to Jira for integration into the branch that shall become 3.6
  - Asger: put out a beta release for projects such as GSearch to adapt?
  - Eddie: Let's only do so if we need to
  - Asger: makes sense
  - Action item: Code review. Rebase/merge.
  - Action item: Add issue in Jira for integration to CXF
- Steve: Should we add University of York in acknowledgement section?
  - Yes, definitely
- Thinking about 3.5.1 or 3.6 release
  - [FCREPO-238](#) - Will put in maintenance branch
  - [FCREPO-951](#) - Makes sense put in maintenance branch
  - [FCREPO-990](#) - Actually considered a bugfix, so can/should go in maintenance branch
  - [FCREPO-991](#) - Ultimately, it is a bug, and can go in maintenance branch.
- What is the essence of 3.6?
  - Steve: Performance
  - Eddie: profiling, benchmarking
  - Asger: noticed some obvious performance sore points
  - Eddie: what are we aiming for re: benchmarking?
  - Aaron: who is considering performance issues currently?
    - Frank: FIZ has been investigating ingest/delete performance under high concurrency. Have found issues
  - Eddie: Who has done serious profiling? Would be nice to incorporate into our testing
    - Dan: Performance is variable on AMI instances, though can normalize by checking performance of machine or storage
    - Frank: JMeter maven plugin. Have some work up here: <https://github.com/astromatthias/JMeter-Testplan>
    - Dan: Grinder
  - [Special topics meeting](#) to investigate what and how we wish to profile for fedora 3.6
  - **Action item** gather literature and experiences, approaches, etc. Finalize details for special topics meeting next week.
- Issues discovered in FIZ testing:
  - Ingest: 200 OK messages sent, but some of these objects were not actually ingested
  - Delete: some objects not removed from DORRegistry