2014-05-22 - Fedora Leadership Group Meeting

Time/Place

- Time: 12:00pm Eastern Daylight Time US (UTC-4)
- Call-in: DuraSpace conference line
 - ° 641-715-3650 209-647-1600, 117433#

Attendees

- David Wilcox
- Andrew Woods
- Jonathan Markow
- Michael J. Giarlo
- user-11d9a
- · Glen Robson
- Declan Fleming
- Jon Dunn
- Mike Friscia

Agenda

- 1. Introductions
- 2. Comments, questions, and feedback from Leadership Group
- 3. Fedora governance model review and feedback
- 4. Fedora 4 community update
 - a. Summary of events and community engagement
 - i. DC Area Fedora User Group Meeting
 - ii. DuraSpace Sponsor Summit
 - iii. Fedora BoF at 3rd RDA Plenary
 - b. Call for acceptance testers and beta pilots
- 5. Fedora 4 technical update
 - a. Sprint progress
 - b. Upcoming beta release
- 6. Upcoming conferences and face-to-face opportunities
 - a. Open Repositories, June 9-13
 - b. PASIG Meeting, September 16-18
 - c. 4th RDA Plenary, September 22-24
 - d. Hydra Connect, September 30 October 3
 - e. DLF, October 27-29
 - f. eResearch Australasia, October 27-31
 - g. CNI, December 8-9

Minutes

Opening Questions/Comments from Group

Mike F.: Want to do upcoming active digital curation work in Fedora 4, perhaps look for grant; will do digitization (and some elimination) of
materials as well as preserve born-digital content; is looking for collaborators.

New Fedora Governance Model

- David summarized the governance policies just formulated by the steering group
- Tim McGeary: As a bronze member but an in-kind contributor, wants to be eligible to be nominated and elected to the Steering Group as well as serving on Leadership; we will follow up with steering to clarify this policy
- · Glen: Could Leadership become too large? Jonathan: Don't forsee it being a problem. Steering needs to be nimble.
- We need to add .5 FTE to governance benefits table
- · Term limits for steering and elected leadership positions need to be discussed. Maybe at CNI
- · Should members be able to nominate people outside their group
- Mike: can we coordinate elections with institutional fiscal year
- We should make travel and time expectations clear for these different roles
- We will continue this discussion on list

Community Update

- Steering has attended productive DC user group mtg;
- BOF session at RDA Plenary in Dublin-good communications, interest in F4 for research
- Call for beta testers and pilots; use cases are being validated; we can always use more participation!
- No committed beta pilots yet; UCSD is considering this; so is UNC; same for Indiana
- We will be looking for beta testers at Open Repositories

Technology Update

- Read the Sprint updates on the wiki-they are very detailed-for starters
- Beta will be available at the end of the current sprint!
- Included features are documented in above link; use cases are covered very well
- Proposal from IU and WGBH using F4
- Interest from Northwestern in IIIF over F4
- Interest from MIT in ResourceSync over F4
- Art Institute of Chicago progress on F4 prototype
- Development team is thinning out a bit we need to fill it out; our hope is to get to production this year, but it is contingent on developer availability
- UCLA is now contributing
- UCSD has added new developer
- UC-Berkeley will be adding developer
- Hackfest last week very successful; focus on performance testing, CRUD operations, versioning, number of objects supported; 16 million files supported, especially when hierarchically structured
- Push for supporting complex authorization use cases; XACML work progressing nicely—we hope to get it into the beta; it will be able to support a
 broader base of use cases
- · Call for action: Beta testers, raise your hand
- Message for OR: Beta serves new Fedora implementations; upgrade path to be addressed in next production iteration; please join us in testing
- Hydra work with F4 is continuing; Mike G: Hydra application on F4 will be available at OR if all goes as expected; Yale will kick the tires; by August will hope to pilot F4 with Hydra
- Mike F: Can development work be done on a ticket outside of the sprint schedule? Andrew: Would like to see evolving development model where
 people are engaged long-term outside of sprints too, participation on committer calls. Mike F. thinks this would be feasible for his team. We will
 carry on this conversation on list
- Tim: UNC sprints are synched to Fedora sprints to maximize possible participation

Actions