

# 2015-01-08 - Fedora Tech Meeting

## Time/Place

This meeting is a hybrid teleconference and IRC chat. Anyone is welcome to join...here's the info:

- Time: [11:00am Eastern Standard Time US \(UTC-5\)](#)
- U.S.A/Canada toll free: 866-740-1260, participant code: 2257295
- International toll free: <http://www.readytalk.com/intl>
  - Use the above link and input 2257295 and the country you are calling from to get your country's toll-free dial-in number
  - Once on the call, enter participant code 2257295
- IRC:
  - [Join the #duraspace-ff chat room via Freenode Web IRC](#) (enter a unique nick)
  - Or point your IRC client to #duraspace-ff on irc.freenode.net

## Attendees

- [Andrew Woods](#)
- [Nick Ruest](#)
- [Unknown User \(acoburn\)](#)
- [David Wilcox](#)
- [Andy Wagner](#)
- [Kevin S. Clarke](#)
- [Michael Durbin](#)
- [Osman Din](#)
- [Stefano Cossu](#)
- [Unknown User \(escowles@ucsd.edu\)](#) ★

## Agenda

1. Committer team and processes
  - a. Useful queries: [Affects 4.x Unresolved](#), and [Scheduled 4.0.1 Unresolved](#)
2. [JIRA](#) clean-up
3. OR2015 Proposals
4. [Recent mailing list threads](#)
5. Islandora/F4 update
6. Transform

## Minutes

- Committer team and processes
  - Andrew: Fedora 3 committers group isn't relevant to Fedora 4, so we should transition to Fedora 4-based committers group. Good to review the processes and re-evaluate.
  - Esme: Do we need a separate PMC group? Or is the committers group we're talking about be the PMC group?
  - Aaron: In Apache groups with large numbers of committers, the PMC group is the key people responsible for releases. Given the size of the Fedora 4 project, probably not necessary.
  - Andrew: Introducing a new group may be confusing. Maybe we should look at role of PMC responsibilities and make sure we incorporate those into the committers group.
    - We should setup a wiki page that defines the committer responsibilities:
      - Reviewing and merging pull requests
      - Reviewing received JIRA tickets and promoting appropriate tickets to open status
        - Kevin: Islandora committers do this as a group - would we do that in the weekly committers call, or individually?
        - Andrew: Many tickets are uncontroversial and can be opened by committers individually, but we could review and discuss them and any controversial tickets in the weekly call
      - Rotating release manager, with at least two committers to review each others work and get more people familiar with the release process.
        - We should get a 4.0.1 soon – volunteers?
          - Esme: I'll help with that.
- JIRA clean-up
  - Andrew: We've recently moved from Pivotal to JIRA. If there are any Pivotal tickets you think should be migrated, please go ahead and create them in JIRA now.
    - Using the existing Fedora JIRA spaces, so there are quite a few legacy tickets. Many of them are valid, but they probably will not be worked. Should we keep them? Move them out of the way?
    - Mike: Should we decide how to deprecate tickets so people know we shouldn't work them?
    - Nick: In Islandora closes them with WONTFIX status.
    - Kevin: Maybe we should give them a label.
    - Andrew: They should have a 3.x-trunk version, so that should be enough to identify these tickets for future review.
    - Esme: Since there are a bunch of tickets, maybe we should just announce to the mailing list that we'll bulk close them all.
    - Andrew: We should be clear not to make people think the team is going to work 3.x tickets. Does it make sense for someone else to send out the email?

- Nick: It doesn't have to be Andrew.
  - Andrew:
    - Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.
    - Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.
- OR2015 Proposals
  - Andrew: Is anybody putting together a proposal, or think there should be one?
  - Mike: UVa will make a proposal based on local implementation.
  - Nick: Might put in a Fedora 4/Islandora implementation proposal. But might not since we will have just started.
    - David: You should put in a proposal.
  - Andrew: Considering LDP proposal, and Tech Working Group assessments and outcomes.
  - Stefano: Could be good to have a Fedora 4 use cases panel, similar to CNI panel.
    - Mike: That could be a good fit for UVa, and help report more timely work.
- Islandora/F4 update
  - Nick: Officially start on Jan 19th, with Danny Lamb from DGI and Nick from York.
  - Looking forward to working with Camel.
  - Will check in with Andrew bi-weekly to keep him in the loop.
  - Fedora 4 interest group will reposition itself to be the home of the implementation project. Goal is to have a generic use case for Fedora 3 to 4 migration.