2015-04-17 Steering Group Minutes

April 17, 2015, 1 PM EST

Attendees

Dean B. Krafft, Jon Corson-Rikert ⭐, Kristi Holmes, Melissa Haendel, Paul Albert, Mike Conlon, debra hanken kurtz, Jonathan Markow, Robert H. McDonald

⭐ = note taker

All attending

Dial-In Number: (209) 647-1600, Participant code: 117433#

Agenda

	Item	Time	Facilitator
1	Review updates	5 min	Mike
2	Partnerships	30 min	All
3	Leadership Meeting	10 min	Dean
4	Meet with Management Team	5 min	Mike
5	Additional business	10 min	All

Notes

1. Updates – please provide to save time in meetings
    a. Task force updates
        i. Search index task force has been archived as inactive.
        ii. IFest task force archived.
        iii. Asset task force in progress. 68 assets (listservs, web sites, repos, other) identified so far. Includes Duraspace assets in support of VIVO – newsletter, CRM, etc. Another 10 "suggested" assets – things we might be missing. Next step is to identify some key people with institutional knowledge. Then review assets with identified stewards and then community members.
        iv. User Stories task force has completed first user story (Brown). Will solicit for more.
        v. Contributed software task force has charter see VIVO Contributed Software Task Force
        vi. Implementation documentation task force has charter see VIVO Implementation Documentation Task Force
    b. Thomson Reuters hiring a VIVO facilitator. See job posting.
    c. VIVO/ORCID webinar April 16. 40 active participants at the webinar with 160 registered to watch the live and archived webinar. Good description of purpose, active work.
        i. comment – registration was capped at 160 people. 40 (at the peak) attended live. Duraspace caps enrollment to prevent turning people away during the live event, which can only handle 100.
        ii. comment – Many people register for webinars so that we can get the link to watch the recording at a later date.
    d. Mike spoke with Amy Brand regarding CRediT as a VIVO ontology extension. Mike will draft an implementation analysis for review.
    e. Mike is working with DuraSpace web team on migration of vivoweb.org and an upgraded look for the site.
    f. Search committee meeting update. Committee met once to review applicants to date, review job description, and discuss expectations for the position.
    g. Mike out April 19-27
    h. VIVO 1.8 2nd release candidate is through testing with 4 open issues – none seen as serious
    i. Conference workshops close to being finalized
2. Conference Sponsorship for the conference and Corporate Membership documents?
    a. Jonathan has a one-pager and will send to everyone
    b. Conference team has a prospectus for potential sponsors
    c. Dean meet with prospective sponsors/members at CNI
3. Management Team
    a. Can we move to a once-a-month shared meeting with the working group leads? Key people doing a great job but not sure why they are being called to another meeting
    b. Would like them to discuss with them what the communication issues are, what they should be involved in
    c. Too many layers as is. Other projects do not have this. Disrupts our communication as we spend time relaying information.
    d. Invite them to join a steering group meeting in a couple of weeks. The meeting policy of each group is up to the group; when we have a joint meeting, ask them to put their monthly summary of bullets ahead of the meeting
        i. The Planning wiki will remain for elected members of the Steering group.
        ii. Making it possible for anyone to mail Steering at any time.
4. Partnerships – Who are our partners? Why are they partners (why is it important to us)? What is the state of the relationship?
    a. Digital Science
        i. Will be the corporate sponsor representing Symplectic. And then able to represent other Digital Science efforts – figshare and others

           ii. Amy Brand of Digital Science on the board of Duraspace
- b. Force 11
    - i. Open, active, working on attribution
- c. W3C HLCS Dataset working group
    - i. Potential source for VIVO contribution roles
- d. W3C PROV working group
    - i. Effort gaining recognition.
    - ii. Overlaps with attribution representation efforts
- e. CRediT project
    - i. Joint with CASRAI, Digital Science, others
    - ii. 14 terms. Might need more or different in the future
    - iii. Alignment with other efforts to model contribution, role, attribution in research
    - iv. VIVO modeling as an extension
    - v. Attribution is at the heart of VIVO. Continuing work to model attribution in VIVO-ISF
- f. CASRAI
    - i. Strong promoters
    - ii. Managed community feedback for the CRediT project
- g. NISO – National Information Standards Organization
    - i. Kristi co-chairing a workgroup with Mike Taylor (Elsevier) on attribution and contributor roles
- h. SciENCV
    - i. OHSU will be getting money to work on SciENCV and VIVO-ISF integration
    - ii. Will hire a person who will work on this
    - iii. SciENCV integration could make VIVO data a standard
- i. SURA
    - i. Three SURA schools had VIVO and five more in the pilot: Florida, Duke, Texas A&M plus VT, GWU, ODU, Oklahoma U and Clemson
    - ii. They are considering next steps for including others.
    - iii. Could potentially almost double VIVO adoption
- j. ORCID – huge partner
    - i. Many points of intersection
    - ii. very good webinar this week
    - iii. Will need separate, in-depth discussion regarding partnership
- k. euroCRIS
    - i. Important to pursue alignment with them
- l. OpenAire
    - i. A connection through DSpace in the Italian university consortium
    - ii. Big European open science pilot project
    - iii. Could potentially fund work in Europe
    - iv. Early stage but has promise
- m. CTSA consortium
    - i. Focused on their NIH work
    - ii. Not always in the best position to promote institutional level VIVO
    - iii. Opportunity through cross-institutional search, research impact, expert finding
- n. USDA
    - i. Continues to promote VIVO into the land grants
    - ii. Could potentially be very important
- o. Star Metrics
    - i. Different perspective that may not resonate with academics
- p. Linked Data for Libraries
    - i. Effort sees VIVO URIs as part of the person identity
- q. Association of Independent Research Institutes (AIRI)
    - i. 600 small research institutes
    - ii. Interested in cross-institutional VIVO because their scientists have difficulty being found
    - iii. Need cross-site search and hosting
- r. Australia
    - i. Will have a researcher profiles day later in the year
- s. Climate change
    - i. Potential to expand VIVO-driven New York Climate Change Science Clearinghouse to New England states
- t. Many others not discussed at this initial conversation.
5. Leadership Meeting not discussed
6. Additional business not discussed

Meeting adjourned at 2:05

Action Items

- Mike Conlon will touch base with Simon Porter
- Mike Conlon will invite Management Team to the next Steering Committee meeting
- Mike Conlon will draft an analysis of CRediT implementation in VIVO incorporating Melissa's work and Jon's work.
- Mike Conlon will investigate changes to the steering committee listserv to open up posting.
- Jonathan Markow will share one page corporate sponsorship document
- debra hanken kurtz will touch base with TAMU regarding SURA next steps