

2015-09-16 - WebAccessControl Authorization Delegate Planning Meeting

Time/Place

- Time: 3:00pm Eastern Daylight Time US (UTC-4)
- Call-in:
 - U.S.A/Canada toll free: 866-740-1260, participant code: 2257295
 - International toll free: <http://www.readytalk.com/intl>

Attendees

- [David Wilcox](#)
- [Andrew Woods](#)
- [Nick Ruest](#)
- [Ben Wallberg](#)
- [Unknown User \(acoburn\)](#)
- [Jared Whiklo](#)

Agenda

1. Collect stakeholder feedback on Sprint 1
2. Review Phase1 scope/use-cases
 - a. Allow admin agent to always have full access to resources and ACLs
 - b. Allow admin agent to CRUD ACLs
 - c. Allow admin agent to assign ACLs to resources
 - d. Allow a specific agent to READ a resource
 - e. Allow a specific agent to READ and WRITE a resource
 - f. Allow a specific agent to CREATE a resource, but not update it
 - g. Allow a specific agent to assign an ACL
 - h. Allow a class of agent to do the above (d - g)
 - i. Allow a specific agent to do the above over a class of resources (d - g)
 - j. Allow a class of agent to do the above over a class of resources (d - g)
 - k. When access is denied return a 403 and a body (or link header) with cause
3. What Phase1 requirements must be addressed in Sprint2?
 - a. Link header
 - b. Remote ACLs
 - c. ...
4. Schedule second sprint
5. Discuss Phase2 scope/use-cases
 - a. Allow a request from a specific I.P. address (or range?) to do the above for a resource and a class of resources (2.d - g)
 - b. Enforce authorization policy on a resource (or class of resources) based on that resource's association to a licenses (or tag)
 - c. Enforce datetime sensitive authorization polices (i.e. embargos / leases)
 - d. Allow authorization decisions based on nested ACLs (i.e. acl:include)
 - e. Demonstrate pattern for enforcing the same authorization decisions as found in the repository in the context of Solr queries

Related Documents

- <https://www.w3.org/wiki/WebAccessControl>
- <https://github.com/duraspace/pcdm/wiki#webacl>
- [Authorization Delegates](#)
- <http://www.w3.org/ns/auth/acl>

Minutes

Facilitate Stakeholder Verification

- Enable WebAC feature in fcrepo4-vagrant
- Script the creation of resources and ACLs that correspond to stakeholder use cases
 - **Stakeholders should provide additional use cases/scenarios as needed to help round out the verification**

Sprint 2 Items to address

1. Allow a specific agent to CREATE a resource, but not update it
2. Currently, ACL resources are protected like other repository resources. Add special protection for ACL resources
3. Implement "agent class" support:

- a. For agent classes that are found within the repository
 - b. For agent classes that are found external to the repository (*stretch, do stakeholders want this?*)
 - c. Allow repository admins to turn of "agent class" capability
4. Implement "remote ACLs", *if stakeholders view it as a priority*
 5. *Stretch goal:* acl:include

Note: Since the WebAC "specification" does not have provisions for time-based authorization, the proposal is to move logic for policies such as leases or embargoes up into the application layer. Question for stakeholders, *Is that reasonable?*