

2015-09-25 - Fedora API Extensions Meeting

Dial In Details

Date: Friday September 25, 2pm EDT (-4 UTC)

- U.S.A/Canada toll free: 866-740-1260, participant code: 2257295
- International toll free: <http://www.readytalk.com/intl>
- Use the above link and input 2257295 and the country you are calling from to get your country's toll-free dial-in number
- Once on the call, enter participant code 2257295

Meeting Goals

Updates on new use cases and discussion

Close loop on the [AIC Use Case: Content and Structural Validation](#)

Define the information that we want to extract from each use case

Attendees

- [Aaron Birkland](#)
- [Unknown User \(acoburn\)](#)
- [Stefano Cossu](#)
- [Daniel Davis](#)
- [Ruth Duerr](#)
- [Rosie Le Faive](#)
- [Elliot Metsger](#)
- [Nick Ruest](#)
- [Bethany Seeger](#)

Agenda

1. Summary of activity
 - a. New use case contributions
 - i. Amherst use cases from [Unknown User \(acoburn\)](#)
 1. [Amherst: template rendering service](#),
 2. [Amherst: binary derivative generation](#),
 3. [Amherst: ID service](#),
 4. [Amherst: embargo handling](#),
 5. [Amherst: JSON-LD compaction service](#),
 6. [Amherst: async digital preservation to external storage](#)
 7. [Amherst: Render fedora metadata resources as MODS/XML](#)
 - ii. Transactional use case from [Justin Coyne](#)
 1. [Use case: ore:aggregation locking](#)
 - iii. [Refined](#) validation use cases from [Stefano Cossu](#)
 1. [Enforce validation across repository](#)
 2. [Optional validation](#)
 3. [Validation only for selected resources](#)
 - b. New discussion on:
 - i. [Amherst: embargo handling](#)
 - ii. [Use case: ore:aggregation locking](#)
2. Next Steps
 - a. Close the loop, or come full circle on the [AIC Use Case: Content and Structural Validation](#) use cases
 - i. Do the new use cases adequately represent the comment thread? Anything else to do with these particular use cases at the moment?
 - b. Updating [Use Cases Summary - API Extension Architecture](#)
 - i. Too early? Wrong format?
 - c. Review [initial list](#) of "what to distill from use cases".
 - d. Continue review
3. Any Other Business
 - a. Elliot away: 9/28 - 10/28

Related Resources

[Design Page \(with use cases outline\)](#)

[Use Cases Parent Page](#)

[Previous meeting agenda, including minutes](#)

Minutes

- [Unknown User \(acoburn\)](#) discusses Amherst use cases
 - Currently, they use Fedora 3. Are in the process of wrapping items they currently do, or have always wanted to do, as web services
 - Have implemented roughly half of the use cases submitted on the [use cases](#) page
 - [ID Service](#) was motivated by decoupling business IDs from repository IDs
 - For Fedora 3, they currently have a tight coupling between Fedora PIDs and fronted IDs. This is brittle
 - Fedora 4 doesn't have PIDs, but at times object URIs become long and unwieldy.
 - Has been in touch with [Unknown User \(daniel-dgi\)](#), as this service could address similar needs in Islandora
 - Architecturally, this is a registry and a resolver service
 - Camel routes listen for events, scan for particular RDF predicate whose associated value is an ID.
 - Mapping between Repository URI and ID are stored in RDBMS
 - API-X may be useful in exposing the resolver service, i.e. expose friendly URIs based on IDs, redirect to Fedora resources
 - [Embargo handling](#) attracted a few comments
 - WebAC working group has mentioned using WebACs [as a mechanism of enforcing embargo](#).
 - API-X may not be the most appropriate mechanism to update WebACs according to embargo policy
 - It's just as important to specify where API-X may **not** be appropriate in support of use cases
 - Exposing details about the nature of the embargo may be a task for API-X
 - [JSON-LD](#) use case was motivated by desire to use JSON-native infra such as riak or MongoDB
 - Is this equivalent to [template rendering](#)?
 - No, but Amherst's use cases for template rendering involve accessing JSON-LD representation from the JSON-LD service
- Discussion on composition or chaining of extensions
 - Can API-X be seen as a pipeline of services on requests on Fedora?
 - This was thought about and briefly mentioned in [the original proposal](#) (see: Composability of API Extensions)
 - The need to compose, pipeline, or chain extensions seems implicit in the design
 - Amherst use case implementations explicitly make use of one another
 - This is one reason why Camel makes an attractive implementation
 - Similar patterns used in Smithsonian infrastructure
 - JAX-RS, Camel, and OSGi+Karaf play very nicely together
 - The term "chaining" is limiting, think "composition"
 - There should be an explicit use case related to composition
 - [Unknown User \(acoburn\)](#) to take initial stab at this, based on Amherst's experience
- Discussion of transactions, motivated by [ORE aggregation locking](#) submitted by [Justin Coyne](#) (not present)
 - This use case seems to highlight problems with using many Fedora objects to represent [ordering of resources](#) via ore:proxies.
 - Essentially, it creates a linked list with Fedora objects as nodes. Certain changes to this list may involve updates to several objects
 - Transactions via REST can be problematic
 - Probably best for extensions not to use API-X to expose additional transaction semantics
 - An essential pattern that will be seen all the time is for API-X to expose atomic operations to outside world which, implemented underneath, may involve transactions between extension as repository
 - Extension is an actor in transaction, not outside client
- Action item: Everybody read and comment on [Use Case Evaluation](#), we'll discuss at next meeting.