# 2015-10-26 Performance - Scale Meeting

#### Time/Place

- Time: 1:00pm Eastern Time US (UTC-4)
- Dial-in Number: (712) 775-7035
  - Participant Code: 479307#
  - International numbers: Conference Call Information
  - Web Access: https://www.freeconferencecallhd.com/wp-content/themes/responsive/flashphone/flash-phone.php

### **Attendees**

- Andrew Woods
- Nick Ruest
- Chuck Schoppet (USDA/National Agricultural Library)
- Unknown User (daniel-dgi) (discoverygarden)
- Maurice York (University of Michigan)
- Robert H. McDonald (Indiana University)
- Esmé Cowles
- Unknown User (bbpennel)

## Agenda

- 1. Voice F4 performance/scale interests and/or concerns
- 2. Review previous F4 performance benchmarking (summary)
  - a. Unimplemented "Technical Working Group" performance assessment plan
- 3. Establish focus for next round of F4 performance benchmarking
- 4. Establish collaborative benchmarking plan

Note: Once we have a new set of benchmarks, we can kickstart the follow-on effort of extending F4's scale.

Next call: 2015-11-09 Performance - Scale Meeting

### **Minutes**

- 1. Performance interests/concerns:
  - a. Esme: want to get a good benchmark that is meaningful, can be used to test changes
    - i. Also hearing concerns about Hydra/LDP/ORE proxies being chatty and slow, so want to look at client interaction model, look at both client and server improvements
  - b. Danny: also interested in a good baseline to have solid data to work on
    - i. Also interested in large binaries, like large video files
    - ii. As a vendor, interested in handling large datasets (several terabytes+), both in terms of large numbers of objects and large total size of preservation files
  - c. Chuck: Concerned about scalability in total number of objects. Have ~6 million objects now, anticipate 10-20 million.
    - i. Transaction performance: detecting duplicates with Solr
      - 1. Danny: good point about the new stack: how do external services like Solr and triplestores fit into transactions, performance questions?
  - d. Maurice: Mass-migration as content is moved into Fedora, performance of ingest/migration
    - i. Also interested in repository performance during migration, and the tradeoff between that and ingest performance
    - ii. Moving towards more active resource management, want to verify resources as they move into Fedora
    - iii. Want to plan migration based on expected rate of ingest, want to know how to improve performance if rate of ingest isn't as good as we'd like
  - e. Robert: Research datasets with Amazon infrastructure, interested in scalability in particular
  - f. Nick: Interested in ingest performance esp. for migrating from Fedora 3, with fixity checking
    - i. Large files (250GB video files)
    - ii. Clustering/sharding: need to figure out what role clustering can play and what scenarios it would help improve
- 2. Process:
  - a. Need to revisit benchmarks that we run to make sure they address the issues raised here
  - b. Second agenda item has links to previous work
    - i. There will need to be significant changes to revamp these to use a different testing tool (previous tool is no longer supported)
    - ii. Technical Working Group performance assessment plan divided up the testing space in slight different way
  - c. Can use existing test results to identify particular performance concerns that seem more likely to be an issue versus those that look like they are performing better
  - d. It would be good to re-verify some of the prior testing in a new framework that we can support moving forward
  - e. Esme: good to review the test results to see if they address the concerns voiced here, and if so, if the results look promising or raise
  - f. Danny: the TWG work would be a good starting point to determine what kind of testing we should do
    - i. Have used JMeter before regardless of what tool is used, need to coordinate and share tools
  - g. Nick: Sharing is key, would be good to setup an environment
  - h. Esme: We could setup a Vagrant setup to make an easy-to-setup environment for consistent testing and lower barrier to performing testing

#### 3. Actions:

- a. Esme: review concerns raised today and determine how well it addresses concerns raised today
  b. Danny: look at creating sample dataset and specifying sample data (file sizes, number of objects, etc.)
  c. Maurice: help with specifying sample data
  d. Andrew: update wiki to organize ongoing and previous work
  i. Update community with today's discussion and next steps