# 2016-01-22 Fedora API Extensions Meeting

Date: Friday January 22, 2pm EST (-5 UTC)

- Dial-in Number: (712) 775-7035
  - Participant Code: 479307#
  - International numbers: Conference Call Information
  - You may also call in using the VolP dialer from a web browser, or Android/iOS apps
- IRC:
- Join the #fcrepo chat room via Freenode Web IRC (enter a unique nick)
- Or point your IRC client to #fcrepo on irc.freenode.net

## Meeting Goals

- 1. Develop a program for engagement with SSWAP
- 2. Move forward on our understanding of service binding

#### **Attendees**

- Unknown User (acoburn)
- Aaron Birkland
- Daniel Davis
- Ruth Duerr
- Elliot Metsger
- Bethany Seeger
- A. Soroka
- Joshua Westgard
- Stefano Cossu
- Randall Floyd (Indiana University)

## Agenda

- 1. Discuss Stefano Cossu's high-level architecture diagram (ran out of time last week)
- 2. Discuss Unknown User (acoburn)'s proof of concept for Service Discovery and Binding
- 3. Discuss SSWAP and its potential utility to API-X.
  - a. http://sourceforge.net/p/sswap/wiki/publications/
    - i. iPlant (the ecosystem? that SSWAP was designed to operate in) architecture http://sourceforge.net/p/sswap/wiki/publications/attachment/iPlant%20Semantic%20Architecture%20and%20Design.pdf
    - ii. Their main paper:

Gessler DDG, Schiltz GS, May GD, Avraham S, Town CD, Grant D, Nelson RT 2009. SSWAP: A Simple Semantic Web Architecture and Protocol for semantic web services. BMC Bioinformatics, 10:309 doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-309.

4. PoC implementation

### **Notes**

- · High-level diagram: Simple diagram, intended to advertise API-X work to Fedora and non-Fedora communities
  - Lots of discussion in various communities (e.g. hydra). Many use cases overlap
  - o Triple store is in the diagram because many extensions will likely use on
  - O Do all have to go through API-X? Thinking about scaling issues
    - Graph indicates how it works, does not imply it's mandatory always, just in cases where API-X is use
    - Suggestion: put "API-X client" in the box, rather than just "client"
  - Question regarding Arrows between extensions: is it the intent to communicate thait it is a recommended pattern that extensions invoke another? To what extent is it mediated by API-X?
    - Wanted to demonstrate a pipeline, in reality API-X mediated the pipes. Maybe make the arrows a different colour.
    - It's a common pattern that if extensions need to communicate with one another, they'd be a sort of client too.
    - Extensions should not directly be passing information to one another. They ideally should be a set of functions or configuration, these are parsed by API-X core. The API-X core is the one that routes.
    - Will communication bounce back between extensions and API-X core? there may be scalability issues.
  - $^{\circ}\,$  Observation: There are no arrows between low-level storage and triple store.
    - Right, this is discouraged. Recommended means of populating storage is async/event driven.
    - In either case, though, there are no arrows currently on the diagram which indicate how triple store is populated
  - Maybe distinguish between Fedora and the APIs? Square boxes make them all look the same
    - re-affirm that we've decided that all interaction with Fedora is through it's REST api.
    - Boxes were "something with logic in it"
    - Should emphasize that API-X is a body of software
    - Swim-lane diagrams can make it clear what's an API
    - Diagram of what's inside API-X core would be useful at some point as well.
  - O Action item: Stefano Cossu to revise graph
    - Offer from A. Soroka to assist with swim-lane diagrams to clarify interactions
      - This will aid debate/discussion

- Open repositories: Aaron Birkland preparing an API-X submission
  - Pass around stakeholders for input/comments
  - o Randall Floyd and Unknown User (acoburn) may contribute materials as well
  - O Action item: Aaron Birkland to send around initial draft early next week.
- Service Discovery and Binding (SD&B)
  - Overview
    - Make sure clients of API-X can understand which services are running.
    - Should be a way of registering change so clients know what is available or not.
    - Knowing if a service can be applied to repository objects of a type
    - Two possible client modes: Directly with the client (client polls registry and invokes service), or mediated (proxy, client uses public URI, request is routed behind the scenes by API-X using information from SD&B registry)
    - Binding of services: how would service interact with API-X: they register themselves (types they operate on)
    - Should be distributed (across multiple machines)
  - o Ruth: To ensure that crawlers can understand these services and know how to invoke. Should be understandable and machine
    - Is the API of the SD&B, or the APIs they are describing? Both? Probably both
    - To what extent does API-X need to know how to invoke a service, or reason about descriptions?
  - Selecting services by nominal type vs description
    - If you want to factor behaviour, it becomes difficult
    - Types for objects in Fedora 3 were quite specific/narrow, rich description would be to avoid that scenario Where do you want to do your inferencing: Pre-calculated, in API-X, or make the client do it?

    - Give discovery service a notion of an ontology (e.g. as SSWAP). Do it in a way so that you don't have to.
    - Less naming things, more "what they do"
    - Dan: What I saw in SSWAP is a framework for doing a model for lower-level information for service binding.
      - · If we start with a bean registry, then we have no growth
    - Ruth: Similar questions in ESip being asked right now as well, but fedora doesn't really have a presence there at the moment.
  - API-X Service discovery & Binding may be an opportunity to correct what Fedora3 dissemination got wrong
    - Aaron B: No high-level requirements explicitly suggest description-based binding. Maybe describe the problem and pass by Stakeholders?
      - May lead to additional high-level requirement, don't want to miss opportunity
      - · Action item: A. Soroka to add use cases where this is necessary
      - Action item: Ruth Duerr has a use case requiring description-based biding, will add it as wel

Some interesting post-meeting discussion also followed on IRC (see conversation after 15:12): http://irclogs.fcrepo.org/2016-01-22.html

Next call

Friday, Feb 5