

# 2016-09-29 - Fedora Tech Meeting

## Time/Place

This meeting is a hybrid teleconference and IRC chat. Anyone is welcome to join...here's the info:

- Time: 11:00am Eastern Daylight Time US (UTC-4)
- Dial-in Number: (712) 775-7035
  - Participant Code: 479307#
  - International numbers: [Conference Call Information](#)
  - Web Access: <https://www.freeconferencecallhd.com/wp-content/themes/responsive/flashphone/flash-phone.php>
- IRC:
  - [Join the #fcrepo chat room via Freenode Web IRC](#) (enter a unique nick)
  - Or point your IRC client to #fcrepo on [irc.freenode.net](http://irc.freenode.net)

## Attendees

- [A. Soroka](#)
- [Longshou Situ](#)
- [Yinlin Chen](#)
- [Nick Ruest](#)
- [Jared Whiklo](#)
- [Danny Bernstein](#) ★
- [Katherine Lynch](#)
- [Unknown User \(acoburn\)](#)
- [Bethany Seeger](#)
- [Andrew Woods](#)
- [David Wilcox](#)
- [Daniel Lamb](#)
- [Esmé Cowles](#)
- [Frank Smutniak](#)

## Agenda

1. 4.7.0 release candidate - [testing](#) and migration
2. <https://github.com/w3c/ldp-testsuite/issues/229#issuecomment-250448306>
3. HydraConnect attendance/meet-up
4. Document compatibility of external components relative to Fedora releases
  - a. In READMEs, or
  - b. [Component Compatibility Matrix](#)
5. Prioritization of ticket review
6. [API Spec](#) inter-dependencies
  - a. Resource CRUD
    - i. Resource Versioning
      1. Fixity Checking
      2. Atomic Batch Operations?
    - ii. Authorization
  - b. Messaging SPI
7. Pruning documentation: [Authorization Delegates](#)
8. ...
9. Status of "in-flight" tickets

key	summary	type	created	updated	due	assignee	reporter	priority	status	resolution
-----	---------	------	---------	---------	-----	----------	----------	----------	--------	------------

Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.

## Ticket Summaries

1. Please squash a bug!

key	summary	type	created	updated	due	assignee	reporter	priority	status	resolution
-----	---------	------	---------	---------	-----	----------	----------	----------	--------	------------

Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.

## 2. Tickets resolved this week:

key	summary	type	created	updated	due	assignee	reporter	priority	status	resolution
-----	---------	------	---------	---------	-----	----------	----------	----------	--------	------------

Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.

## 3. Tickets created this week:

key	summary	type	created	updated	due	assignee	reporter	priority	status	resolution
-----	---------	------	---------	---------	-----	----------	----------	----------	--------	------------

Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.

# Minutes

- Now that the release candidate is out, let's focus on testing.
  - Yin will try vagrant today and verify whether or not it is broken.
    - try "vagrant box update"
  - Possible to tie vagrant to a specific version of the base box? Since base boxes can shift under a fedora version and cause confusion...
    - Nick Ruest will look into this.**
  - Who will help with testing?
    - Danny
    - Nick
    - Aaron Birkland
  - Some focus should be brought to migration of existing repositories
    - Who might be willing to attempt such a migration test?**
      - ?
  - Jared: Please test something
- LDP Test Suite: We need to maintain this thing - at least insofar as the tool should still run:
  - We should come to an agreement with Rob and others about the terms of maintenance.
  - Do we fork this project ?
  - Project still runs, but will break. Maintainers are not responding.
  - Chris Beer has identified a problem with the Test S
    - this issue. ( Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration. )**
    - the agenda of LDP Next**
- HydraConnect.
  - Anyone up for a meetup?
  - Who will be there:
    - Esme, Kate, Yinlin, Ben, Andrew Woods, Bethany
  - Any ideas of how to use this opportunity?
    - Containerizing in Production Deployment
    - Import/Export unconference session? Yes - there is interest there
  - Informal get together? Yes there is interest.
    - Lunch Wednesday or Thursday?
      - Bethany Seeger will organize.**
- It is not always clear which versions of which pluggable components are compatible with which version of fcrepo4.
  - Andrew Woods** surfaced the need to maintain a compatibility matrix or at least capture this information somewhere in the documentation.
    - Proposal: When a component is released, document which fcrepo4 version it will work against, whether in a compatibility matrix or in the readme.
    - General agreement: any release notes should note which fcrepo4 the component was tested against.
      - Andrew Woods : Add a note to EXTS policy docs indicating that people must document which version of fedora was used with integration tests (where applicable)**
        - F4 GitHub Organizations**
- Next week **Andrew Woods** will be gone: Any high priority work / blockers that should be raised? No.
- Comments on the API dependencies:

a. Who will tackle each component:

i. Resource CRUD:

- A. Soroka will reach out to Ben, Tom Johnson, Danny Lamb