


2017-05-10 - API Spec Meeting

Time/Place

- Time: 2:30pm Eastern Time US
- Dial-in Number: (712) 775-7035
 - Participant Code: 479307#
 - International numbers: [Conference Call Information](#)
 - Web Access: <https://www.freeconferencecallhd.com/wp-content/themes/responsive/flashphone/flash-phone.php>

Attendees

- [Andrew Woods](#) - regrets
- [Esmé Cowles](#) -  (facilitator)
- [Daniel Lamb](#)
- [Simeon Warner](#) blocked URL (notetaker)
- [Benjamin Armintor](#)

Agenda

1. External content
 - a. Issues: <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/issues?q=is%3Aissue+is%3Aopen+label%3Aexternal-content>
 - b. Wiki proposal: <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/wiki/External-Content>
2. Prioritize outstanding issues
3. ...

Minutes

1. External content wiki proposal seems solid and generally accepted
 - a. Simeon: How do we decide which (undocumented) use cases are in scope, and which (undocumented) use cases are out of scope? Would be nice to have these written down as a way to decide Ben: Would use cases go in spec or supporting documentation or elsewhere?
Simeon: Not sure they go anywhere formal but just use for decisions on spec
Esme: Think they might be supporting documentation
Danny: Have tried in Islandora
Ben: Had tried to do this with #41
Danny: Have use case template and label, could do this.
Ben: Could have a procedure where part of closing a use case is a PR with a markdown file
Esme: .. but this sounds like a PR based process again
Agreed: It would be good to document the use cases behind some of the spec changes. Will have use cases directory and Danny will put in a template. Will add use cases as a PR
 - b. Wiki proposal: <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/wiki/External-Content>
Esme: How are folks with this proposal
Danny: OK with me
Ben: Can make that work. Is it OK if you support an external body then you advertize with Accept POST on containers, else do 415 -- agreement . Will try to do the PR before next call.
2. Outstanding issue review
 - a. Many of the outstanding issues seem resolved, but we need follow-up
 - i. Messaging/notifications has a PR that needs review
 - ii. Editor list needs to be updated to reflect recent formation of this editors group
 - iii. Long discussion on <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/issues/43> needs to be summarized
 - iv. A few tickets have asked for various kinds of clarification but lack of consensus has prevented those from moving forward
 1. At least adding an intro to summarize the different kinds of implementations anticipated and how they might differ could address some of those concerns
3. Next meeting
 - a. Danny and Simeon will be out next week, so our next meeting will be 5/24/17.

Action Items

- Ben: Write up external content proposal as a PR
- Danny: Create use case template <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/pull/114>
- Ben: Write up external content and redirect use cases
- Esmé, Danny, and Andrew will review <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/pull/106>
- Simeon: Review and summarize <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/issues/43>
- Danny: Draft intro text to address <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/issues/93> and <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/issues/95>
- Esmé: PR to update editors section <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/pull/115>