

# 2017-07-05 - API Spec Meeting

## Time/Place

- Time: 2:30pm Eastern Time US
- Dial-in Number: (712) 775-7035
  - Participant Code: 479307#
  - International numbers: [Conference Call Information](#)
  - Web Access: <https://www.freeconferencecallhd.com/wp-content/themes/responsive/flashphone/flash-phone.php>

## Attendees

[blocked URL](#) (facilitator)

[blocked URL](#) (notetaker)

- [Andrew Woods](#)
- [Esmé Cowles](#) +
- [Daniel Lamb](#)
- [Simeon Warner](#)
- [Benjamin Armintor](#) ★

## Agenda

1. Open PRs ...ready to go?
  - a. <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/pull/147>
  - b. <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/pull/150>
2. Spec published: <http://fedora.info/spec>
  - a. <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/releases/tag/0.1.0>
3. Option for implementation of test suite - contractors
  - a. Issue with using Java?
4. Time to begin monthly report-backs to Fedora Leaders
5. Follow-up on: <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/issues/111>
  - a. [Deletion-related issues](#)
6. Branding as Core Specification <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/issues/122>

## Minutes

Esmé's excellent line-length PR

Adam's PR needs to be (probably) redone

Backreferences to previous releases of spec

There is a small change in the hosting of the document because of some ReSpec js file changes (now using a local copy)

Can we assume that python is a desirable platform for the TCK?

After the PWD is release, we are responsible for monthly reports to FLG about feedback/evaluation process/roadblocks before CR nomination

Do editors want to attend FLG calls for the reports? The reports should probably be made available to public.

Deletion: #111 was addressed via <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/pull/126> but Danny refused to close the ticket.

Reviving #134

## Previous Action Items

- Danny: will move 111 forward, and create a separate ticket for async failures

## New Action Items

-