

2017-07-12 - API Spec Meeting

Time/Place

- Time: 2:30pm Eastern Time US
- Dial-in Number: (712) 775-7035
 - Participant Code: 479307#
 - International numbers: [Conference Call Information](#)
 - Web Access: <https://www.freeconferencecallhd.com/wp-content/themes/responsive/flashphone/flash-phone.php>

Attendees

[blocked URL](#) (facilitator)

[blocked URL](#) (notetaker)

- [Andrew Woods blocked URL](#)
- [Esmé Cowles](#)
- [Daniel Lamb blocked URL](#)
- [Simeon Warner](#)
- [Benjamin Armintor](#)

Agenda

1. Open PRs ...ready to go?
 - a. <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/pull/154>
 - b. <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/pull/155>
 - c. <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/pull/156>
 - d. <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/pull/158>
2. Establishing a plan for landing this effort by Nov 2017
 - a. How do we want to systematically approach spec revision?
 - b. Test compatibility suite
 - c. [Aligning Fedora4 with the specification](#)
 - d. At least one other implementation of the specification
 - i. Cavendish discussion
3. Option for implementation of test suite - contractors
 - a. Contractors have been contacted and are interested... even if Python
 - b. Define initial scope of work
4. Follow-up on: <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/issues/111>
 - a. [Deletion-related issues](#)
5. Branding as Core Specification <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/issues/122>
6. [Respec license](#)

Minutes

Plan for landing the spec

1. Target AuthZ first
 - Look at F4 impl choices, and decide if they go in the spec or not
 - We all decide to look at that section
 - Action: Andrew to create some finer-grained issues for webac
 - or create a more expansive draft spec
 - Action: all to read solid-webac doc
2. Deadline for "completing" webac section:
 - all 5 sections covered by end of Aug
3. "Sprint" on AuthZ until Jul 26th

Contractors

1. Better error output from tests: What was request, what was response, and what requirement was tested
2. Provide LDP spec to contractors
3. Provide Fedora API documentation to contractors
4. Initial test: Resource Management - GET, HEAD, POST
5. Initial tests should be limited to things that Fedora impl already does
 - Discuss again next week
6. Action: Simeon to create github issue for language of compatibility tests

Delete

1. #136, do we need to specify

- a. Recursive delete is not required
 - b. Require use of headers to indicate capability
2. Action: Danny to raise delete topics on Slack tomorrow

Branding

- Simeon will close issue

Action Items

- Simeon Warner to close out <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/issues/122>
- Simeon Warner to write issue about test suite language <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/issues/159>
- All to read up on <https://fcrepo.github.io/fcrepo-specification/#resource-authorization> and SOLIDWEBAC
- Andrew Woods to suggest way forward for fleshing out <https://fcrepo.github.io/fcrepo-specification/#resource-authorization> section