

2017-09-26 - Fedora Leadership Group Meeting

Time/Place

- Time: 11:30am Eastern Daylight Time US (UTC-4)
- Dial-in Number: (712) 775-7035
 - Participant Code: 479307#
 - International numbers: [Conference Call Information](#)

Attendees

- ~~Chris Awre~~
- ~~Doug Blair~~
- ~~Ginny Boyer~~
- ~~Robert Cartolano~~
- Aaron Choate
- ~~Sayeed Choudhury~~
- Stefano Cossu [blocked URL](#)
- Tom Cramer
- Joanna DiPasquale
- ~~Jon Dunn~~
- Karen Estlund
- ~~Declan Fleming~~
- Maude Francis
- ~~Mike Giarle~~ (regrets, will be boarding a flight)
- Neil Jefferies
- Debra Kurtz
- ~~Susan Lafferty~~
- ~~Steve Marks~~
- Rosalyn Metz ★
- ~~Tom Murphy~~
- Este Pope
- ~~Nick Ruest~~
- Robin Ruggaber
- Tim Shearer
- ~~Jon Stroop~~
- ~~Jennifer Vinopal~~
- Evviva Weinraub
- ~~Jared Whittle~~
- ~~David Wilcox~~
- Andrew Woods
- Maurice York
- ~~Jim Tuttle~~

Agenda

Topic	Lead
CNI Fall Meeting proposals • Due October 11 • What Fedora-related topics make sense in terms of proposals? • e.g. Fedor and digital preservation, API spec, related grant work	
CNI Fedora Leaders Meeting Agenda	
Establishing a review process for governance documentation • e.g. Roles and Responsibilities, Elections	
The 2.5% Commitment	
Brief Update on API Specification Sprint	
Oxford Common Filesystem Layout	
Roundtable	All

Previous Actions

Minutes

1. CNI proposal
 - a. Due October 11
 - b. Possible topics:
 - i. Fedora specs and API changes
 - ii. File system specs
 - iii. Integration with different ecosystems
 - iv. Preservation and Import/Export
 - v. Grant proposals
 - c. Will a session proposal have greater success chance than a panel?
 - d. Integration examples:
 - i. Hyku
 - ii. UMichigan / ICPSR
 - iii. LAKE
 - e. Follow up with further discussion separately
2. CNI Fedora Leaders meeting
 - a. Add topics in Wiki page
 - i. Mission/vision
 - ii. Budget
 1. Reliance on contractors vs. community for development
 2. This comes post-retreat and post-budget definition so we will have good numbers to work on
 - iii. Membership: report on current membership drive & EU vs. US strategies
 1. Put some emphasis of Erin Tripp's effort toward this goal
 - iv. Business opportunities / service providers
 - b. State of the technology / API specs
 - i. More tactical and less technical discussion
 - ii. We don't want to get caught in the weeds but also find possible challenges or aspects that Leaders can help with
 - c. Mission/vision should be the agenda centerpiece and we should have a very open and honest discussion about it
 - d. Where Fedora fits and should fit / Declan's thread
 - e. We should discuss how to tie tech advancement with strategy; there cannot be a vision discussion without including technology
3. Annual Review—need David's feedback
4. 2.5% contribution
 - a. If 2.5% of revenues from collection budgets and fundraising are dedicated to infrastructure and development to support open scholarly
 - b. We need to be careful with increasing contribution dramatically
 - c. How do we get credit for my contribution?
 - d. This is not a Duraspace- or Fedora-only membership drive; it is at a higher level where funds are put aside for this kind of projects in general
 - e. A brief write-up would be helpful to bring to Sr. management
 - f. It is a complex topic, and not new; it is very challenging to meet this goal
 - g. First discussion about this was about collections; now it seems to be expanding into technical infrastructure. There is staff time to consider
 - h. Accreditation needs to be validated
 - i. Talk to Cliff Lynch about a special session in CNI?
5. API specs update
 - a. First alignment with API specs sprint is concluding today
 - b. Aaron B. took on interim lead role in the last 2 weeks
 - c. A more complete update will be available soon as the sprint concludes
6. Oxford filesystem specs
 - a. This is directly tied to preservation concerns raised by community members
 - b. This is two things: an API to talk to storage and serialize contents
 - c. Andrew is involved in the filesystem layout and Neil in the serialization aspect

Actions