

2017-10-16 CoC Processes Meeting

Time/Place

- Time: 4:00pm Eastern Time US
- Dial-in Number: (712) 775-7035
 - Participant Code: 479307#
 - International numbers: [Conference Call Information](#)
 - Web Access: <https://www.freeconferencecallhd.com/wp-content/themes/responsive/flashphone/flash-phone.php>

Attendees

[blocked URL](#) (facilitator)

[blocked URL](#) (notetaker)

- Rosalyn Metz [blocked URL](#)
- ~~Susan Lafferty~~
- ~~David Wilcox~~
- Declan Fleming
- Mike Giarlo
- ~~Jon Streop~~
- Robin Ruggaber
- Debra Hanken Kurtz

Agenda

Topic	Description	Time
Review Duraspace Code of Conduct.	Briefly review the Code of Conduct to ensure that there aren't any concerns regarding completeness (i.e. are there any things we think we might want to ask to be added or have as an addendum). Questions to consider: • Have we selected a code of conduct that accurately reflects our community's shared values, as they are actually practiced? • Have we selected a code of conduct we are actually willing and able to enforce?	5min
Public Draft		
Review Report Follow-up Process	Do these follow up processes meet our needs? Do we want to follow a different follow up process?	5min
Create a new follow up process	If the above decision is to use a different follow up process, here are some questions we might want to consider [1]: • Who's going to be responsible for holding the community accountable to the standards documented in the code of conduct? • How will those people be chosen, what training & resources will they need, and by what mechanism will they be held accountable? • How will code of conduct reports be collected? Will their resolution be communicated to the larger community? How? • What are the legal/privacy/etc. implications of our chosen method of reporting? [1] Questions taken from The Complex Reality of Adopting a Meaningful Code of Conduct • Reporting mailing list: https://groups.google.com/forum/#!forum/fedora-conduct	30min

Action Items

- [debra hanken kurtz](#) update code of conduct to indicate that "at the sole discretion of Duraspace organizers" is in concert with the community's leadership and/or steering committee 13 Nov 2017
- [Rosalyn Metz](#) draft process description described here 13 Nov 2017
- [Rosalyn Metz](#) schedule next meeting 20 Oct 2017

Notes

- Review DuraSpace Code of Conduct
 - Completed version of the DuraSpace Code of Conduct: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1HRisLUyIGZnQR52okP96_Tej6noiB4hy1NiCy1mISd4/edit
 - Board has reviewed and approved. Board will revisit in a year.
 - Answer to the above questions are yes.
 - Open question: who chose to adopt the code of conduct.
 - chosen to adopt by steering
 - ratified by leadership
- Review Report Follow-up Process

- Follow up process has been included in the final draft process https://docs.google.com/document/d/1HRisLUyIGZnQR52okP96_Tej6noiB4hy1NiCy1mISd4/edit
- Right now we have a sense of mutual trust between DuraSpace Organizers, today the CEO would work with the project to make a decision.
- Ultimately the CEO is responsible for doing what's best for the organization, so they need to be able to be responsible for the organization and individual's experience.
- Chair of Steering is in some sense of a DuraSpace organizer when the meeting or discussion is Fedora represented
- If there is a conflict with the chair of the steering committee, then steering will elect someone to work with the CEO
- Create a new follow up process