

# 2018-01-10 - API Spec Meeting

## Time/Place

- Time: 2:30pm Eastern Time US
- Dial-in Number: (712) 775-7035
  - Participant Code: 479307#
  - International numbers: [Conference Call Information](#)
  - Web Access: <https://www.freeconferencecallhd.com/wp-content/themes/responsive/flashphone/flash-phone.php>

## Attendees

[blocked URL](#) (facilitator)

[blocked URL](#) (notetaker)

- [Benjamin Armintor](#) ★
- [Esmé Cowles](#)
- [Daniel Lamb](#) +
- [Andrew Woods](#)
- [Simeon Warner](#)

## Agenda

1. [Open Repositories 2018](#) proposal
2. Finalizing the Specification
  - a. Specification compliance test suite
  - b. Two or more implementations of the specification
  - c. No unresolved, outstanding critical issues, as defined by the specification editors
    - i. What is a realistic timeline?
3. Leftover *Candidate* issue
  - a. ~~[issue-261](#) — All done? We announced, linked etc. Suggest close.~~
4. Open *Recommendation* issues
  - a. [issue-317](#) Clarify LDPNR creation via PUT ([PR-318](#), needs review from [Daniel Lamb](#), [Benjamin Armintor](#)) ...should be good to go
  - b. [issue-260](#) Define Prefer header URI for compact collection representation ([PR-285](#)) ...pending discussion?
  - c. [issue-172](#) Clarify algorithm for finding authorizations
  - d. [issue-211](#) Clarify responses to external content requests with expiration date
  - e. [issue-280](#) Numbering scheme?
5. Open *No Milestone* issues

## Notes

### Open Repositories 2018

[Andrew Woods](#) thinks a presentation would be good, but is it possible? [Esmé Cowles](#) is a maybe as long as he doesn't have to propose it, [Simeon Warner](#) is a no, [Benjamin Armintor](#) is a no, [Andrew Woods](#) is a no, Tom J. is a maybe. Is there a non-editor who would bring a long view of the project to the panel?

### Spec Finalization

Test suite is not complete, per [Andrew Woods](#)

Danny B. and Scott P. have been chipping in. Contract is nearly up.

Simeon not able to get the suite to run yet - it's running against the community impl, but not passing. Possible problems there. Need to test the tests.

Andrew thinks test suite can be made available sooner. Need to think of ways of verifying the test suite.

Need to be tracking the implementations. Will solicit soft commitments to conformance by mid-April.

### Open Recommendation Issues

#317: Waiting on [Benjamin Armintor](#)

#260: Punt for now

- #172: Can't continue waiting for Solid — [Simeon Warner](#) will draft updated language for review
- #211: [Andrew Woods](#) will close #211 with an explanation that we think RFC 7231's [guidance on 202 responses](#) is good enough

#280: Agreed on 1.0.0 except [Benjamin Armintor](#)

## Other Open Issues

- [Andrew Woods](#) and All: review unscheduled issues into the Recommendation milestone by next week
  - For issues that we don't add to the Recommendation milestone, how do we get closure?
- [Andrew Woods](#) will review <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/issues/319>

## Action Items

- ACTION - all to flag issues and mark those that should be part of the milestone (by COB 2017-11-01) [Simeon Warner](#) took a pass
- ACTION - [Andrew Woods](#) to make PR for <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/issues/246>
- ACTION - all to review and comment on <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification/issues/236>
- **ACTION** ([Daniel Lamb](#)): To create PR: non-norm: LDPCv is both a timemap and a container, but does not allow POST