

Community Input Meeting Agenda, Presentations, and Meeting Notes

Meeting logistics details saved here.

Agenda: [Slides and Meeting Notes](#)

Monday, April 24th (Day 1)		
8:30–9:00 am	Breakfast	<i>Continental breakfast served in meeting space.</i>
9:00–9:15 am	Welcome	Agenda, goals and outcomes, space, shared docs, other logistics. Michelle Futornick (Stanford)
9:15–9:45 am	Participant introductions	
9:45–10:15 am	Setting the Stage	Overview of LD4P & LD4L-Labs efforts, background, context. Dean Krafft (Cornell): LD4L-Labs Intro , Philip Schreur (Stanford)
10:15–10:30 am	Break	
10:30 am–12:45 pm	Topic Area #1: Ontology Ontology development, maintenance, extensions, reuse, and related questions.	Session Intro and LD4P/LD4L-Labs Presentation: Steven Folsom (Harvard), Jason Kovari (Cornell) Lightning talks (Google drive folder containing the below) - Gordon Dunsire (RDA): RDA and the IFLA Library Reference Model (LRM) - Rob Sanderson (Getty): The myth of inference - Gloria Gonzalez (Zepheira): The Library Link Network - Tim Cole (UIUC): What I learned (the hard way) from the W3C Web Annotation Working Group - Antoine Isaac (Europeana): W3C Data on the Web Best Practices – Data Vocabularies Notes from discussions (Google drive folder; each group discussed the below questions) - Building links between BIBFRAME 2.0, LD4L/LD4P BF Extension, Domain Extensions & the rest of the LOD world - Implications of having multiple ontologies in library world.
12:55–1:55 pm	Lunch	<i>Lunch buffet in meeting space.</i>
2:00–5:00 pm with break	Topic Area #2: Workflows, Procedures & Production What linked data means for our existing procedures; moving linked data into production.	Session Intro: Josh Greben and Philip Schreur (Stanford) LD4P/LD4L-Labs Presentation: Arcadia Falcone (Stanford): Technical Services Workflow Pipeline Lightning talks (Google drive folder containing the below) - Osma Suominen (Nat'l Lib of Finland): From MARC to Schema.org – via BIBFRAME! - Carl Stahmer (UC Davis): UC Davis Linked Data Implementation - Arwen Hutt (UCSD): Non-MARC workflows in LOD - John Ockerbloom (Penn): Keeping sharp focus in catalog and authority work - Julie Hardesty (Indiana U): Hydra-focused workflows using RDF - Amber Billey (Columbia): Identity Management see (also) Authority Control Notes from discussions (Google drive folder containing the below) - Cooperative metadata management in an open, linked-data environment - Living with both MARC and RDF data in an open, linked-data environment - Managing authoritative data in an open, linked-data environment - Service-level access to descriptive metadata and authoritative data in an open, linked-data environment - Interfaces required for managing workflows in an open, linked-data environment

4:30–5:00 pm	Wrap-Up	
5:30–7:00 pm	<i>Reception</i>	Green Library
Tuesday, April 25th (Day 2)		
8:30–9:00 am	<i>Breakfast</i>	<i>Continental breakfast served in meeting space.</i>
9:00–9:15 am	Introduction	
9:15–Noon	Topic Area #3: Tooling and Services Map existing tool landscape and identify tool gaps. Explore how tools fit together in a continuous workflow.	Session Intro: Simeon Warner (Cornell) LD4P/LD4L-Labs Presentations (Google drive folder containing the below) - Tom Cramer (Stanford): Linked Data Tooling: Maps and Gaps - Rebecca Younes (Cornell): LD4L-Labs Converter - Josh Greben (Stanford): Testing Suite and Validation Work - Kirk Hess (Lib of Congress): LC BIBFRAME Tools: Converter and Editors - Huda Khan (Cornell): VitroLib: Developing a linked data editor for catalogers - Dave Eichmann (U of Iowa): Reconciliation and visualization Lightning talks (Google drive folder containing the below) - Shlomo Sanders (ExLibris): A vendor's perspective - Sebastian Hammer (IndexData): BIBFRAME in FOLIO - Niklas Lindström (Nat'l Lib of Sweden): Vocabulary-driven cataloging at the National Library of Sweden - Andrew Pace (OCLC): Metadata Refinery overview - John Graybeal (Stanford): CEDAR demo - Emmanuelle Bermes (BnF): Linked data in production since 2010; lessons learnt
10:20–10:50 am	<i>Break</i>	
10:50 am–Noon	Topic Area #3 cont.	Notes from discussions (Google drive folder containing the below) What is current best in class for tools that meet needs? (And why aren't we all just using them?) – facilitator: Dave Eichmann What are the biggest and most pressing gaps? – facilitator: Amber Billey How can we organize ourselves to get better and more tools? Are some things better provided as (hosted) services rather than (local) instances of tools? – facilitator: Jason Kovari What tools & services for linked data can we use that don't come from cultural heritage organizations? – facilitator: Christina Harlow All of the above
Noon–1:00 pm	<i>Lunch</i>	<i>Lunch buffet in meeting space.</i>
1:00–3:15 pm	Topic Area #4: Community Engagement and Adoption Engagement of user communities. How to encourage adoption of standards, tools, services, infrastructure.	LD4P/LD4L-Labs intro: Michelle Futornick (Stanford), Dean Krafft (Cornell) Lightning talks (Google drive folder containing the below) - Neil Jefferies (Oxford): Distributed authorities - Leif Andresen (Royal Danish Library): Next big steps for cataloging in Denmark and Europe - Caitlin Tillman (U of Toronto): Linked data in Canada - Tom Baker (DCMI): DCMI and the vocabulary ecosystem - Sally McCallum (Lib of Congress): LC Feedback Loops - Jackie Shieh (GWU): PCC Task Group on URIs in MARC: Outreach Efforts - Steven Folsom (Harvard): Keeping the Exciting in "vague but exciting" Notes from discussions (Google drive folder containing the below) Discussion questions identified as a group Is lack of a "linked data business case" hindering adoption? How do existing bodies fit into this work? What additional collaborations should LD4P/LD4L-Labs/library community pursue? How can vendors engage more broadly with rest of community? Who manages our data, URIs, ontologies, and other resources? What do successful training models look like?

3:15–3:30 pm	<i>Break</i>	
3:30–4:30 pm	Next Steps	Tom Cramer and Philip Schreur (Stanford) • Discussion notes • Answers to the question: If we (linked open data community) could do 2 things to increase community engagement and traction around linked data, what might they be?
4:30–5:00 pm	Conclusion	Philip Schreur (Stanford)