

# 2018-04-04 - API Spec Meeting

## Time/Place

- Time: 2:30pm Eastern Time US
- Dial-in Number: (712) 775-7035
  - Participant Code: 479307#
  - International numbers: [Conference Call Information](#)
  - Web Access: <https://www.freeconferencecallhd.com/wp-content/themes/responsive/flashphone/flash-phone.php>

## Attendees

[blocked URL](#) (facilitator)

[blocked URL](#)(notetaker)

- [Benjamin Armintor](#) [blocked URL](#)
- [Esmé Cowles](#)
- [Daniel Lamb](#)
- [Andrew Woods](#) ★
- [Simeon Warner](#)

## Agenda

1. Outstanding PRs/Issues
  - a. [issue-347](#) - Clarify that additional Prefer header values apply to return=representation; include="..."
    - i. [pr-348](#)
  - b. [issue-344](#) - Add Accept-External-Content-Scheme header?
    - i. No objections, but noted that we might be bloating the headers a little bit.
  - c. [issue-345](#) - LDP-NR headers on GET and HEAD for `redirect` handling
  - d. [issue-349](#) - Should the `Preference-Applied` response header include parameters?
2. Pull requests:
  - a. [pr-334](#) - Add version number to specification title (***let it sit until 1.0 release date***)
3. Atomic Operations sidecar spec issues:
  - a. <https://github.com/fcrepo/fcrepo-specification-atomic-operations/issues/4#issuecomment-377604524>
4. Tidying and reviewing the spec

## Notes

1. [issue-347](#) - Clarify that additional Prefer header values apply to return=representation; include="..."
  - a. Looks good. Simeon to make minor wording update
  - b. Will need team to review/approve
2. [issue-344](#) - Add Accept-External-Content-Scheme header?
  - a. Sensitive to bloat. Suggesting defer this until we get real-world feedback
    - **ACTION:** Simeon to add comment and defer label
3. [issue-345](#) - LDP-NR headers on GET and HEAD for `redirect` handling
  - **ACTION:** Andrew to create PR
  - a. Add example with specific headers (302 or 307)
4. [issue-349](#) - Should the `Preference-Applied` response header include parameters?
  - **ACTION:** Simeon to create PR

### Atomic Operations Sidecar spec

1. We are waiting on addressing issues until we have more feedback on main spec
  - **ACTION:** Danny to respond in GitHub indicating project is on hold

### Post-Recommendation steps

1. Include elements into the LDP spec?
2. Work with Sarven on an implementation?
3. Can we help in other ways with Memento?

### TCK

1. Need this in-place
  - a. Feature request: run one test on-demand
2. Randall's links into the code are extremely helpful

### Other

1. Andrew out next two weeks
2. Simeon out the week of Apr 18